UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

ANDREW CORZO, SIA HENRY, ALEXANDER LEO-GUERRA, MICHAEL MAERLENDER, BRANDON PIYEVSKY, BENJAMIN SHUMATE, BRITTANY TATIANA WEAVER, and CAMERON WILLIAMS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. 1:22-cv-00125
Plaintiffs,	Hon. Matthew F. Kennelly
v.	
BROWN UNIVERSITY, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, TRUSTEES OF DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, DUKE UNIVERSITY, EMORY UNIVERSITY, GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY, THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME DU LAC, THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, WILLIAM MARSH RICE UNIVERSITY, VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, and YALE UNIVERSITY,	
Defendants.	

JOINT DECLARATION OF EDWARD J. NORMAND, ROBERT D. GILBERT, AND ERIC L. CRAMER IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR SERVICE AWARDS FOR THE CLASS REPRESENTATIVES, REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES, AND ATTORNEYS' FEES

Under the Court's Order dated February 28, 2024 (ECF No. 638), granting preliminary

approval of the settlements with Defendants Trustees of Dartmouth College, Northwestern

University, William Marsh Rice University, and Vanderbilt University and the Orders

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-1 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 2 of 44 PageID #:14946

preliminarily approving settlements with Defendants Brown University, University of Chicago, The Trustees of Columbia University in the City of New York, Duke University, Emory University, and Yale University,¹ and under 28 U.S.C. § 1746, we declare:

1. We are partners or shareholders of the law firms Freedman Normand Friedland LLP ("FNF"), Gilbert Litigators & Counselors, PC ("GLC"), and Berger Montague PC ("BMPC"). The Court approved our ourselves and our firms as Settlement Class Counsel, ECF No. 638 at 6, ¶ 6, for the provisionally certified Class for purposes of the Settlements ("Settlement Class"). *See id.* at 5, ¶ 3 (defining Settlement Class). We have been involved in developing, prosecuting, overseeing, and resolving this Action, are familiar with its proceedings, and have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein. If called upon and sworn as witnesses, each of us would be competent to testify thereto. We respectfully submit this Declaration in Support of Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Support of Their Motion for Service Awards for the Class Representatives, Reimbursement of Expenses, and Attorneys' Fees. Unless otherwise defined herein, all capitalized terms have the same meanings set forth in the Settlement Agreements.

The Settlement Agreements collectively provide for cash payments totaling
\$284,000,000.00 into a Settlement Fund, as well as provide certain continued discovery for
Plaintiffs in their ongoing prosecution of the case against the seven non-settling Defendants. The
Settlements reflect the following payments:

- Chicago agreed to make a settlement payment of \$13,500,000;
- Emory agreed to make a settlement payment of \$18,500,000;

¹ These Defendants are collectively referred to as "Settling Defendants." The Settlement Agreements that the Court has preliminarily approved in ECF Nos. 439 (preliminarily approving settlement with Chicago), 614 (preliminarily approving settlements with Brown, Columbia, Duke, and Yale), and 638 (preliminarily approving settlements with Dartmouth, Northwestern, Rice, and Vanderbilt) are collectively referred to as the "Settlements" or "Settlement Agreements."

- Yale agreed to make a settlement payment of \$18,500,000;
- Brown agreed to make a settlement payment of \$19,500,000;
- Columbia agreed to make a settlement payment of \$24,000,000;
- Duke agreed to make a settlement payment of \$24,000,000;
- Dartmouth agreed to make a settlement payment of \$33,750,000;
- Rice agreed to make a settlement payment of \$33,750,000;
- Northwestern agreed to make a settlement payment of \$43,500,000; and
- Vanderbilt agreed to make a settlement payment of \$55,000,000.

3. The Settlements are an excellent result for the Settlement Class in light of, *inter alia*, the substantial and immediate cash payments (individually and collectively) being made for the benefit of the Settlement Class, the risks and harms associated with delay, Settling Defendants' ongoing discovery obligations under the Settlement Agreements, and the fact that each of the remaining seven non-settling Defendants in the matter is jointly and severally liable for, and capable of paying, the full amount of proved damages, trebled (minus the value of settlements paid as of the date of judgement). Accordingly, and as discussed further below in detail, the Settlements are fair, reasonable, and adequate.

4. This case, as the Court has observed, is unusually complex even for a complex antitrust class action. It has required the management and prosecution of a case with 17 sophisticated, institutional Defendants, represented by a cadre of the best law firms in the country; and it involves conduct spanning over 20 years, concerning a proposed class of approximately 200,000 people; and numerous third parties. Settlement Class Counsel have directed and oversee—and continue to direct and oversee—the work of their own attorneys and professional staff.

5. Settlement Class Counsel, and in particular the undersigned, have been involved in every aspect of this Action. Settlement Class Counsel have collaborated to develop, initiate,

litigate, and resolve this case with the Settling Defendants, including conducting extensive prefiling investigation without the benefit of government involvement or the ability to compel precomplaint discovery. Settlement Class Counsel have overseen and engaged in extensive fact discovery, which included preparing for and taking 91 depositions of Defendants and third parties; propounding numerous discovery requests to the seventeen Defendants; subpoenaing multiple third parties; negotiating production from Defendants of 1,153,610 documents; reviewing, coding, and understanding the 1,153,610 Defendant documents; reviewing, coding, and understanding the 19,140 documents produced by third parties; overseeing the massive document review process efficiently and effectively; filing seven motions to compel (ECF Nos. 331, 358, 402, 440, 443, 501, 529); collecting, reviewing, and culling the 504,402 documents collected from the eight named Plaintiffs; producing 3,828 documents totaling 7,162 pages from the named Plaintiffs; answering 124 discovery requests of named Plaintiffs; preparing for and defending eight depositions of the named Plaintiffs; and analyzing approximately 240 million rows of structured data. Fact discovery began on December 16, 2022, and certain fact discovery matters continue through the present.

6. Settlement Class Counsel have also prepared briefing on dozens of dispositive and non-dispositive motions; appeared and prepared for numerous status conferences, arguments, and hearings; overseen the retention and work of a number of experts and consultants; attended numerous mediations and settlement discussions both in person and by tele and video conference; negotiated the ten Settlements; and presided over the Settlement process for all ten Settling Defendants, including the class notice and the allocation plan; and if the Settlements are approved, are expected to oversee the claims process for tens of thousands of members of the Settlement Class. Settlement Class Counsel have necessarily dedicated

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-1 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 5 of 44 PageID #:14949

substantial time and resources leading the prosecution of this Action on a contingent basis, collectively spending a total of 91,313.4 hours with a total lodestar (at historical rates) of $$70,150,911.00.^{2}$

7. Settlement Class Counsel respectfully submit, for the reasons set forth below and in the accompanying memorandum of law, that the Court should grant the requests for service awards for the Class Representatives, for reimbursement of expenses, and for attorneys' fees, as each request is reasonable and amply supported by the record and law.

PROSECUTION OF THE ACTION

8. Settlement Class Counsel have decades of experience in antitrust litigation and have prosecuted numerous antitrust class actions as lead counsel or in other leadership positions, which lends the team efficiency and sophisticated litigation judgment.. Indeed, one member of Settlement Class Counsel, while at the U.S. Department of Justice, spearheaded the successful prosecution of several universities alleged to have colluded regarding financial aid practices prior to the initiation of the 568 Presidents Group. Settlement Class Counsel from FNF and GLC, joined by BMPC later in the process but well before the case was filed, engaged in extensive prefiling investigation of Defendants' conduct and in connection with the 568 Exemption. Settlement Class Counsel also researched and analyzed the legislative history of the 568 Exemption, and researched, particularly under Seventh Circuit law, joint and several liability issues, damages issues, class certification issues, the law of conspiracy, statute of limitations issues, and potential defenses. This legal research included analysis of the U.S. Justice

² "Historical rates" are the rates in effect during the period the attorneys and other professionals billed the time. Using historical rates is considered conservative given that all work performed was on contingency. The alternative approach would be to use "current rates," which are the rates counsel currently charge for all time billed in the case from initiation to the present.

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-1 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 6 of 44 PageID #:14950

Department litigation against the Overlap Group in the 1990s. In addition, in preparing the Complaint, Settlement Class Counsel retained and worked with a consulting economist concerning damages and other quantification issues.

9. Working with the Plaintiffs, Settlement Class Counsel investigated and drafted the original, Amended, and Second Amended Complaints. *See* ECF No. 1 (Complaint); ECF No. 106 (Amended Complaint, Feb. 15, 2022); ECF No. 308 (Second Amended Complaint, Feb. 6, 2023). The Second Amended Complaint added a defendant³ and, as the operative Complaint, alleges that Defendants violated Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1.

10. On April 15, 2022, Defendants filed three motions to dismiss—one by all Defendants; one by Brown, Emory, Johns Hopkins, and Chicago; and one by Yale. Settlement Class Counsel collectively strategized on the response to the motions. Settlement Class Counsel spent many hours researching and drafting the opposition to the motions. GLC took the lead on the initial drafting of significant portions of the opposition brief, with FNF and BMPC playing substantial roles in parallel researching and drafting. FNF took the subsequent lead in drafting. Plaintiffs filed their combined brief in opposition to the motions on June 10, 2022. *See* ECF No. 164. On July 15, 2022, Defendants filed replies to their motions to dismiss. *See* ECF Nos. 176, 177, 178. On August 2, 2022, the Court held oral argument on the motions, which Mr. Normand handled on behalf of Settlement Class Counsel and the Plaintiffs. On August 15, 2022, the Court denied Defendants' motions. *See* ECF No. 185.

11. Settlement Class Counsel have zealously represented Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class throughout this matter, including with respect to fact discovery. To manage fact discovery

³ Shortly after filing the Complaint, Settlement Class Counsel discovered that Johns Hopkins University had formally joined the 568 Presidents Group on or around October 18, 2021. Plaintiffs subsequently amended the Complaint to add Johns Hopkins as a Defendant.

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-1 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 7 of 44 PageID #:14951

efficiently across the seventeen Defendants, Settlement Class Counsel split up the Defendants, giving each firm primary responsibility for certain universities, though all counsel continued to engage in strategy discussions and involve each other where appropriate. In addition, Settlement Class Counsel divided up responsibilities by issues, with FNF taking the lead on discovery relating to the alleged unlawful conduct and initial discovery of wealth favoritism, and co-leading the work with Plaintiffs' experts on impact and damages; GLC taking the lead on developing discovery related to Defendants' eligibility for the antitrust exemption and ongoing discovery of wealth favoritism; and BMPC taking the lead on discovery relating to class certification, and co-leading the work with Plaintiffs' experts in impact and damages. In many cases, work on these distinct issues was interrelated, requiring coordination among two or all three firms, to ensure consistency in strategy and execution and to benefit from the experience, case knowledge, and talents of professionals at each of the firms.

12. In the early stages of discovery, Settlement Class Counsel negotiated with Defendants and proposed a protocol for Production of Electronically Stored Information. *See* ECF No. 261. This protocol followed lengthy meet-and-confer sessions with Defendants as detailed in three status reports, *see* ECF Nos. 222, 245, 256, and submission of competing, proposed protocols to the Court for resolution. *See* ECF No. 256. In addition, Settlement Class Counsel negotiated and drafted a Confidentiality Order governing designation of confidential information and disclosure of that information to counsel, witnesses, and third parties. *See* ECF No. 254. The Confidentiality Order was subsequently amended twice on consent given additional needs surrounding the need for and use of information regarding donors to Defendant Universities and donor-linked applicants. *See* ECF Nos. 416, 608. After conferring with co-

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-1 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 8 of 44 PageID #:14952

counsel, BMPC took the lead in drafting, proposing, and advocating for the overall case schedule, which the Court adopted in significant part. *See* ECF No. 195.

13. Settlement Class Counsel has held weekly joint video conferences to discuss overall litigation strategy and ensure effective and efficient completion of tasks, including document and deposition discovery from parties and non-parties, coordinating with experts, discussing key documents and testimony, and organizing the factual record for various litigation purposes. FNF and GLC played primary roles managing these meetings, with FNF developing a weekly task list and GLC typically facilitating discussion. Settlement Class Counsel has also had separate regular weekly calls of the senior leadership at each firm to discuss and coordinate on overall litigation and settlement strategy. These meetings have allowed for effective and efficient coordination and management of this sprawling litigation against seventeen Defendants, and involving multiple third parties, and numerous experts.

14. Settlement Class Counsel engaged in extensive written discovery, both offensively and defensively. Settlement Class Counsel collectively drafted and served 192 Requests for Production ("RFPs") on all Defendants, which Plaintiffs ultimately reduced to 96 common RFPs. Settlement Class Counsel also served certain other Defendant-specific requests. Settlement Class Counsel also served a total 45 interrogatories and 42 Requests for Admission on Brown, Caltech, and Johns Hopkins, and 45 Requests for Admission on the other Defendants.

15. Settlement Class Counsel engaged in numerous negotiations with Defendants regarding the production of documents in response to Plaintiffs' RFPs. Some of these meet-and-confers involved all Defendants, while others were negotiated between individual Defendants and the firms responsible for those Defendants. Meet-and-confers involved negotiating which RFPs Defendants would respond to, the temporal scope of the productions, the custodians whose

files responsive documents and data would be produced, the ESI sources to be searched, and the search terms that would be used to locate responsive documents. These meet-and-confer sessions resulted in many compromises that helped avoid formal disputes before the Court. Ultimately, Defendants produced 1,153,610 documents totaling 4,928,324 pages and approximately 240 million rows of structured data.

16. Settlement Class Counsel also identified multiple non-parties with relevant information and knowledge and served document subpoenas on the following entities:

ENTITY	DATE SERVED
Carnegie Mellon University	March 6, 2023
Claremont McKenna College	September 28, 2023
College Board	December 4, 2023
Consortium on Financing Higher Education (COFHE)	August 16, 2023
Harvard University	March 6, 2023
Haverford College	September 28, 2023
Middlebury College	June 6, 2023
Princeton University	March 6, 2023
Stanford University	March 6, 2023
Washington University	March 6, 2023
Williams College	September 28, 2023

These subpoenas resulted in the production, collectively, of an additional 11,640 documents totaling 56,736 pages, which Settlement Class Counsel reviewed and analyzed. Defendants also obtained documents from an additional 28 third parties, which led to the production of another

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-1 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 10 of 44 PageID #:14954

7,500 documents totaling 61,353 pages. Collectively, third parties produced 19,140 documents totaling 118,089 pages.

17. To host and review the 1,165,250 documents produced by Defendants and third parties and the 504,502 documents collected for the Plaintiffs, Settlement Class Counsel retained Ricoh as the vendor for the eDiscovery platform Relativity. In addition to storing the case documents, Relativity has many features that allow for efficient document review and case management. Among many others, Relativity offers the following features that Settlement Class Counsel utilized throughout the case:

- A mechanism for running complex Boolean searches of documents using an optical character recognition scan (OCR) performed on all documents loaded into the platform;
- Customizable coding panels to allow attorneys to review and categorize documents for later use in the litigation;
- De-duplication of documents that have been produced identically or nearly identically to avoid unnecessary re-review of the same document;
- A batching tool that allows attorneys to group large sets of documents into chunks to assign to reviewing attorneys;
- A continuous active learning tool that uses human coding of documents to train an algorithm to prioritize documents for review in order of likely relevance; and
- Document analytics that allow counsel to track progress on document review.

As the Relativity vendor, Ricoh provided ongoing support to Settlement Class Counsel in loading productions, troubleshooting, assisting counsel in constructing effective searches,

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-1 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 11 of 44 PageID #:14955

implementing the continuous active learning tool on certain of Defendants' productions, creating numerous coding panels, and creating document analytics reports.

18. Settlement Class Counsel necessarily and reasonably spent enormous time and effort organizing, reviewing, summarizing, and analyzing these documents for use in depositions and in anticipation of discovery disputes, expert reports, class certification, summary judgment, and trial. While each firm retained responsibility for reviewing the documents for the Defendants and any third parties assigned to it, FNF took a leadership role in developing an initial document review guide for all attorneys reviewing documents and holding weekly sessions with reviewing attorneys across the three firms to provide generalized feedback and education to those engaged in document review. In addition, each firm retained its own internal processes for managing document review for the specific universities and non-parties assigned to it.

19. For the approximately 450,000 Defendant and third-party documents exceeding 1.9 million pages that FNF was responsible for reviewing, FNF designed a review pane and coding options, and senior attorneys "trained" the artificial intelligence relevance algorithm by reviewing a subset of documents. FNF then tasked a team of attorneys to review and code the documents and synthesize the key information to prepare for depositions and build cases against its assigned Defendants. A group of attorneys performed "first reads" of documents, sequentially prioritized using an artificial intelligence program, and provided weekly reports to the FNF lawyer overseeing the process. FNF associates and discovery counsel then performed quality control reviews and provided ongoing feedback to first-level reviewers to improve the accuracy of their coding. In addition, FNF's in-house staff counsel, with the weekly guidance from FNF attorneys, would prioritize schools ahead of key depositions by reviewing documents identified targeted searches and reviewing existing deposition transcripts to create a corpus of potential

exhibits for each deposition. FNF associates or staff counsel then performed a second cull of the documents generated by discovery counsel based on their knowledge and experience with the case, bringing the total number of potential documents to around 200. The deposition taking attorneys then reviewed these documents and ultimately selected the documents to be used as exhibits in the 52 depositions FNF attorneys took and the three depositions that FNF attorneys second-chaired.

20. For its assigned Defendants, GLC was responsible for reviewing the approximately 472,000 documents exceeding 1.8 million pages. GLC first designed a panel of coding options to facilitate identification and efficient recall of documents relevant and probative to a variety of contested issues in the case. After senior attorneys "trained" the document vendor's artificial intelligence ("AI") relevance algorithm by reviewing a subset of documents, GLC then assembled a team of attorneys to review and code the documents and to compile the key information and documents in preparation for use in depositions and to build cases against its assigned Defendants. The senior attorneys provided initial training on the known facts and theory of the case to the team of attorneys responsible for reviewing the documents. This team then performed a first-level review of all documents, prioritized by likely relevance using the vendor's AI algorithm and based on the schedule of upcoming depositions. The attorneys reviewing the documents first provided daily and eventually weekly reports to the senior GLC lawyers with documents judged to be highly relevant and probative. GLC senior lawyers reviewed these reports and documents, performed quality control reviews, and provided ongoing feedback to the attorneys doing first-level review to improve the accuracy of the first-level coding. In addition to weekly discovery attorney meetings among all counsel reviewing documents among all Plaintiffs' firms in this case, GLC held internal weekly meetings amongst

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-1 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 13 of 44 PageID #:14957

its own team of attorneys responsible for reviewing documents to address questions and to discuss new developments and themes. GLC discovery attorneys also worked to prepare senior attorneys responsible for writing briefs and taking depositions by running targeted searches and reviewing existing deposition transcripts to create a corpus of potential exhibits for each deposition. GLC associates then further refined the documents generated by discovery counsel based on their knowledge and experience with the case to condense the documents identified as potential exhibits to around 150-200. The lead attorneys taking each deposition then reviewed these potential exhibits and selected the final list of documents to be used in the 24 depositions taken by GLC attorneys and 7 additional depositions supported and second-chaired by GLC attorneys.

21. For the approximately 250,000 Defendant and third-party documents exceeding 1.2 million pages that BMPC was responsible for reviewing, BMPC put together a team of multiple attorneys to review and code the documents and synthesize the key information in order to prepare for depositions and build cases against their assigned Defendants. After BMPC provided initial training to attorneys involved in the document review on the known facts and theory of the case, a group of attorneys performed "first reads" of documents, sequentially prioritized using an artificial intelligence program, and provided weekly reports to the BMPC lawyer charged with overseeing the process regarding the documents deemed to be highly relevant and probative. BMPC associates and discovery counsel then performed quality control reviews of the first-level document review and provided ongoing feedback to the attorneys doing first-level review to improve the accuracy of the initial coding. In addition to performing quality control work, BMPC's in-house discovery counsel, with the weekly guidance and feedback from associates and other attorneys at the firm, worked to prepare attorneys taking depositions by

running targeted searches and reviewing existing deposition transcripts to create a corpus of potential exhibits for each deposition. BMPC associates then performed a second cull of the documents generated by discovery counsel based on their knowledge and experience with the case, with the goal of bringing the total number of potential documents to around 200. The deposition taking attorneys would then review the 200 documents that were deemed candidates for potential deposition exhibits and ultimately select the documents to be used as exhibits in the 17 depositions BMPC attorneys took and 3 depositions BMPC attorneys second chaired.

22. After extensive negotiations between Settlement Class Counsel and multiple Defendants' Counsel, Defendants produced structured data reflecting the admissions and financial aid data for over 100,000 applicants and enrolled students at Defendant Universities. With respect to such structured data, Settlement Class Counsel, primarily BMPC, liaised between Defendants and Plaintiffs' expert consultants in many meet-and-confers and in multiple emails between Settlement Class Counsel and Defendants' Counsel. These negotiations extended over ten months and were necessary to make sense of the approximately 240 million lines of structured data Defendants produced, all in unique ways and containing thousands of variables and derived from different financial aid database systems. BMPC attorneys met at least weekly with Defendants and communicated with experts, often several times a week in lengthy and highly detailed emails, any new information learned from Defendants and discussed any additional questions raised by the meet-and-confers.

23. In terms of defensive discovery, Settlement Class Counsel prepared Plaintiffs' Initial Disclosures. Plaintiffs received from Defendants 29 Requests for Production. Settlement Class Counsel, led by FNF, drafted responses to these Requests for Production after extensive

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-1 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 15 of 44 PageID #:14959

discussions with Plaintiffs regarding documents and data in their possession and the location of information responsive to Defendants' Requests for Production.

24. Settlement Class Counsel also led negotiations with Defendants over Plaintiffs' Responses to the Requests for Production and negotiated custodians, ESI sources, search terms, temporal scope, and limitations on what would be produced based on burden, relevance, and privacy concerns. This process involved extensive discussions with Class Representatives regarding sources and retention, search terms that were likely to return responsive documents, and the role of each potential custodian.

25. After the production had been negotiated and production vendor, Ricoh, had been retained for the document production, Settlement Class Counsel worked with Plaintiffs to identify all locations of responsive documents and then collect and produce those documents. Once the documents had been collected, Settlement Class Counsel coded, reviewed, and redacted those documents and then determined which documents were appropriate to produce, ultimately producing more than 4,000 documents.

26. Settlement Class Counsel, through FNF and GLC, worked with Plaintiffs to review their financial aid and college applications, emails, and social media accounts.

27. With FNF taking the lead, Settlement Class Counsel also drafted responses to Defendants' 45 interrogatories (served on September 19, 2022 and September 30, 2023) and 50 requests for admission (served on September 30, 2023). Settlement Class Counsel worked with Plaintiffs to ensure accurate responses to these interrogatories. This entailed thorough reviews of Plaintiffs' college applications, admissions decisions, and financial aid award letters. Settlement Class Counsel served objections and responses for Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories on October 19, 2022 and supplemented these responses on June 27, 2023, June 28, 2023, June 29,

2023, and August 24, 2023. Settlement Class Counsel responded to Defendants' Second Set of Interrogatories and Requests for Admission on October 30, 2023. Settlement Class Counsel supplemented the responses to Defendants' Second Set of Interrogatories on February 7, 2024.

28. Settlement Class Counsel, primarily FNF and GLC, drafted and filed numerous motions to compel compliance with the document requests and Confidentiality Order and also defended against various motions to compel and motions for protective orders. *See, e.g.*, ECF Nos. 275, 276, 331, 355, 402, 440, 443, 471, 497, 501, 528, 652. GLC took the lead on motions regarding wealth favoritism issues, which were a majority of the discovery disputes, including conducting argument on such motions.

29. Given that discovery has involved students' educational records, Settlement Counsel analyzed the requirements of the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA") and drafted and finalized multiple briefs on those issues. GLC primarily handled those issues, which were closely intertwined with wealth favoritism discovery disputes, and coordinated with FNF and BMPC as to particular Defendants on these issues.

30. Because many witnesses in this matter are not within the Court's power to compel testimony at trial, depositions are particularly important in a case like this with witnesses that are geographically dispersed. The depositions must serve both as part of a necessary campaign of discovery, but also as testimony for trial. Settlement Class Counsel, with FNF handling the majority, took the 83 Defendant depositions. FNF took primary responsibility for taking the eight non-party depositions, as well as defending nearly all the eight Class Representative depositions.

31. In preparation for the 91 depositions in this case, Settlement Class Counsel identified key documents to be used at each deposition, prepared extensive deposition outlines, and coordinated deposition strategy and questioning across the firms.

32. Settlement Class Counsel collectively examined these 91 fact witnesses in depositions spanning approximately eleven months—with the first deposition taking place on May 15, 2023. Most of these depositions lasted for a full business day. Thirty-six of the depositions were in person, requiring travel. In addition, certain fact witnesses were also designated as 30(b)(6) designees, requiring preparation to identify and use documents and ask questions in the witness's individual and corporate capacity. In the time spent participating in these depositions, Settlement Class Counsel also spent many hundreds of hours preparing to take those fact depositions. Frequently, to take advantage of the talents, experience, and specific case knowledge across the firms, attorneys from multiple firms were involved with the preparation of each deposition, even if they were not undertaking the primary examination. The fact depositions, including witness names and affiliations, deposition dates, the examining attorneys, and attorneys who attended and assisted in the preparation for the depositions, are as follows:

WITNESS	AFFILIATION	DATE(S)	PRIMARY FIRM/ EXAMINING ATTORNEY	OTHER FIRM/ ATTORNEYS PRESENT AND/OR ASSISTED
James Tilton	Brown University	5/15/23	GLC: Robert Gilbert	GLC: Steven Magnusson FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita; Richard Cipolla
Jeff Sassorossi	Dartmouth College	5/17/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Richard Cipolla; Vel Freedman; Peter Bach-y-Rita
Stuart Schmill	MIT	6/28/23	BMPC: Hope Brinn	

WITNESS	AFFILIATION	DATE(S)	PRIMARY FIRM/ EXAMINING ATTORNEY	OTHER FIRM/ ATTORNEYS PRESENT AND/OR ASSISTED
Melissa Costanzi	Georgetown University	6/29/23	GLC: Robert Gilbert	GLC: Steven Magnusson
Scott Wallace -Juedes	Yale University	7/7/23	BMPC: Ellen Noteware	BMPC: Hope Brinn
John McLaughlin	University of Pennsylvania	7/12/23	GLC: Robert Raymar	GLC: Sarah Schuster; David Copeland
Brent Tener	Vanderbilt University	7/12/23	GLC: Robert Gilbert	GLC: Steven Magnusson
				BMPC: Robert Litan
Leslie Bridson	MIT	7/13/23	BMPC: Hope Brinn	BMPC: Ellen Noteware
Karl Furstenberg	Dartmouth College	7/14/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Richard Cipolla; Vel Freedman
Morton Schapiro	Northwestern University	7/18/23	GLC: Robert Gilbert	BMPC: Hope Brinn
Caesar Storlazzi	Yale University	7/27/23	BMPC: Daniel Walker	
Elaine Varas	University of Pennsylvania	8/2/23; 3/18/24	GLC: Robert Raymar	GLC: Steven Magnusson
John Gaines	Vanderbilt University	8/3/23	GLC: Robert Gilbert	GLC: David Copeland
Donald Bishop	University of Notre Dame	8/16/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Joan O'Neill	Yale University	8/17/23	BMPC: Ellen Noteware	
Tom McDermott	Johns Hopkins University	8/18/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Richard Cipolla

WITNESS	AFFILIATION	DATE(S)	PRIMARY FIRM/ EXAMINING ATTORNEY	OTHER FIRM/ ATTORNEYS PRESENT AND/OR ASSISTED
Lee Coffin	Dartmouth College	8/22/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Richard Cipolla
Miranda McCall	Duke University	8/29/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Edward Malloy	University of Notre Dame	9/7/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Kathryn Tuman	Columbia University	9/8/23	GLC: Elpidio Villarreal	GLC: Flor Melgar
Anne Walker	Rice University	9/8/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Joe Delich
Michael Hall	Columbia University	9/13/23	GLC: Elpidio Villarreal	GLC: Natasha Zaslove
Malina Chang	Caltech	9/13/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Janice Coleman	Duke University	9/15/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Jessica Marinaccio	Columbia University	9/18/23	GLC: Elpidio Villareal	GLC: Natasha Zaslove; Steven Magnusson
Christopher Watson	Northwestern University	10/10/23	GLC: Robert Gilbert	BMPC: Hope Brinn
McGreggor Crowley	MIT	10/11/23	BMPC: Robert Litan	
Sara Harberson	University of Pennsylvania	10/25/23	GCL: Robert Raymar	GLC: Natasha Zaslove
Matthew Lewis	Georgetown University	10/27/23	BMPC: Daniel Walker	GLC: Steven Magnusson
Thomas Keane	Cornell University	10/27/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Vel Freedman; Ivy Ngo

WITNESS	AFFILIATION	DATE(S)	PRIMARY FIRM/ EXAMINING ATTORNEY	OTHER FIRM/ ATTORNEYS PRESENT AND/OR ASSISTED
Mary Nucciarone	University of Notre Dame	11/1/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Valerie Youmans	Georgetown University	11/7/23	GLC: Robert Gilbert	GLC: Steven Magnusson
Charles Deacon	Georgetown University	11/9/23	GLC: Robert Gilbert	GLC: Steven Magnusson; David Copeland
Eric Furda	University of Pennsylvania	11/16/23	FNF: Ted Normand	GLC: Natasha Zaslove
Kathryn Osmond	Wellesley College	11/16/23	FNF: Richard Cipolla	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Becky Maxson	Cornell University	11/17/23	FNF: Vel Freedman	FNF: Richard Cipolla
Joel Carstens	University of Pennsylvania	11/21/23	FNF: Richard Cipolla	GLC: Natasha Zaslove
Shawn Felton	Cornell University	11/30/23	FNF: Joe Delich	FNF: Mendel Kokinov
Joseph Russo	University of Notre Dame	11/30/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Douglas Christiansen (x)	Vanderbilt University	12/1/23	GLC: Robert Gilbert	GLC: David Copeland
Paul Mueller	University of Notre Dame	12/6/23	FNF: Joe Delich	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Thomas Bear	University of Notre Dame	12/7/23	FNF: Joe Delich	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Patricia McWade	Georgetown University	12/8/23	BMPC: Hope Brinn	GLC: Steven Magnusson

WITNESS	AFFILIATION	DATE(S)	PRIMARY FIRM/ EXAMINING ATTORNEY	OTHER FIRM/ ATTORNEYS PRESENT AND/OR ASSISTED
Jason Locke	Cornell University	12/11/23	FNF: Joe Delich	FNF: Ivy Ngo; Vel Freedman
Jennifer Lesar	MIT	12/12/23	BMPC: Hope Brinn	BMPC: Jeremy Gradwohl
Matthew Sessa	University of Pennsylvania	12/15/23	FNF: Richard Cipolla	GLC: Natasha Zaslove
Doris Davis	Cornell University	12/15/23	FNF: Joe Delich	FNF: Mendel Konikov
Ian Waitz	MIT	12/15/23	BMPC: Ellen Noteware	
Ashley Pallie	Caltech	12/15/23	FNF: Vel Freedman	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Glen Shor	MIT	12/21/23	BMPC: Robert Litan	
Diane Corbett	Cornell University	1/8/24	FNF: Vel Freedman	FNF: Mendel Konikov
David Phillips	Johns Hopkins University	1/8/24	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Richard Cipolla
Phillip Spector	Johns Hopkins University	1/16/24	FNF: Richard Cipolla	
Shannon Cullinan	University of Notre Dame	1/18/24	FNF: Joe Delich	FNF: Mendel Konikov
Jarrid Whitney	Caltech	1/19/24	FNF: Vel Freedman	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita; Albert Sabater
Jeffrey Gall	Georgetown University	1/19/24	BMPC: Ellen Noteware	GLC: Steven Magnusson
Janet Rapelye	Consortium on Financing Higher Education	1/22/24	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita; Richard Cipolla

WITNESS	AFFILIATION	DATE(S)	PRIMARY FIRM/ EXAMINING ATTORNEY	OTHER FIRM/ ATTORNEYS PRESENT AND/OR ASSISTED
John Affleck- Graves	University of Notre Dame	1/24/24	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Joseph Delich; Peter Bach-y-Rita
Melissa Costanzi	Georgetown University	1/25/24	GLC: Robert Gilbert	GLC: Steven Magnusson
Paul Streeter	Cornell University	1/26/24	FNF: Ivy Ngo	FNF: Mendel Konikov; Thomas Trabue
Elizabeth Hicks	MIT	2/8/24	BMPC: Ellen Noteware	BMPC: Jeremy Gradwohl
Andrew Koenig	Georgetown University	2/13/24	GLC: Robert Gilbert	GLC: Steven Magnusson; David Copeland
Peter Wyatt	Johns Hopkins University	2/15/24	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Joe Delich
John DeGioia	Georgetown University	2/16/24, 4/1/24	GLC: Robert Gilbert	GLC: Steven Magnusson BMPC: Hope Brinn
Helene Grady	Johns Hopkins University	2/21/24	FNF: Richard Cipolla	
Barbara Knuth	Cornell University	2/21/24	FNF: Joe Delich,	FNF: Vel Freedman, Mendel Konikov
Don Betterton	Princeton University	2/22/24	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita; Richard Cipolla
Bob Millard	MIT	2/27/24	BMPC: Ellen Noteware	BMPC: Hope Brinn
Robert Mundy	University of Notre Dame	2/29/24	FNF: Joe Delich	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita; Mendel Konikov

WITNESS	AFFILIATION	DATE(S)	PRIMARY FIRM/ EXAMINING ATTORNEY	OTHER FIRM/ ATTORNEYS PRESENT AND/OR ASSISTED
Nikki Chun	Caltech	3/1/24	FNF: Vel Freedman	FNF: Albert Sabater; Peter Bach-y-Rita; Richard Cipolla
Kim Downs- Burns	Middlebury College	3/5/24	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita; Richard Cipolla; Bianca Nachmani
Robert Donaghey	Middlebury College	3/6/24	FNF: Richard Cipolla	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Sharon Patterson	Caltech	3/8/24	FNF: Richard Cipolla	FNF: Vel Freedman; Albert Sabater
Megan Arleth	Consortium on Financing Higher Education	3/8/24	BMPC: Hope Brinn	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Brian Hill	Carnegie Mellon University	3/14/24	FNF: Joe Delich	FNF: Vel Freedman
Penn 30(b)(6)	University of Pennsylvania	3/18/24; 3/26/24	GLC: Robert Raymar	GLC: Natasha Zaslove
Caltech 30(b)(6)	Caltech	3/20/24	FNF: Vel Freedman	FNF: Albert Sabater, Richard Cipolla
MaryFrances McCourt	University of Pennsylvania	3/22/24	FNF: Joe Delich	FNF: Vel Freedman; Richard Cipolla, Mendel Kokinov
Sarah Donahue	Harvard University	3/26/24	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
University of Pennsylvania 30(b)(6)	University of Pennsylvania	3/26/24	GLC: Robert Raymar	GLC: Rina Niyogi; Natasha Zaslove FNF: Richard Cipolla

WITNESS	AFFILIATION	DATE(S)	PRIMARY FIRM/ EXAMINING ATTORNEY	OTHER FIRM/ ATTORNEYS PRESENT AND/OR ASSISTED
Stanford 30(b)(6)	Stanford University	3/27/24	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita; Richard Cipolla; Vel Freedman
Heather Nichols	Cornell University	3/28/24	FNF: Ivy Ngo	FNF: Joseph Delich
John Zeller	University of Pennsylvania	3/28/24	GLC: Robert Raymar	GLC: Natasha Zaslove
Sandra Baum	College Board	3/29/24	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Richard Cipolla, Peter Bach- y-Rita
Bart Moore	Georgetown University	3/29/24	GLC: Robert Gilbert	GLC: Steven Magnusson
Johns Hopkins 30(b)(6)	Johns Hopkins University	4/1/24	FNF: Richard Cipolla	FNF: Joseph Delich; Vel Freedman
Marilee Jones	MIT	4/2/24	BMPC: Ellen Noteware	BMPC: Hope Brinn
Notre Dame 30(b)(6)	Notre Dame	4/3/24	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Joseph Delich
New York University 30(b)(6)	New York University	4/12/24	FNF: Joseph Delich	FNF: Ted Normand
Amherst College 30(b)(6)	Amherst College	4/12/24	FNF: Joseph Delich	
MIT 30(b)(6)	MIT	4/23/24; 5/2/24	BMPC: Ellen Noteware; Hope Brinn	

33. FNF and GLC also collectively defended eight depositions of the named

Plaintiffs. Each of those depositions took the better part of a full day. In addition to defending the depositions, Settlement Class Counsel also spent dozens of hours preparing the named Plaintiffs for their depositions, including assembling relevant materials and meeting with all deponents. Each of the named Plaintiff depositions, including witness names and affiliations, deposition dates, and the participating attorneys is listed in the chart below as follows:

WITNESS	AFFILIATION	DATE(S)	PRIMARY FIRM/ DEFENDING ATTORNEY
Alexander Leo- Guerra	Duke University	August 25, 2023	FNF: Richard Cipolla
Brandon Piyevsky	Northwestern University	August 28, 2023	FNF: Richard Cipolla
Cameron Williams	Vanderbilt University	September 7, 2023	FNF: Richard Cipolla
Andrew Corzo	University of Chicago	September 8, 2023	FNF: Richard Cipolla
Benjamin Shumate	Brown University	September 12, 2023	FNF: Richard Cipolla
Sia Henry	Duke University	September 15, 2023	FNF: Richard Cipolla
Michael Maerlender	Vanderbilt University	September 18, 2023	FNF: Richard Cipolla
Brittany Tatiana Weaver	Vanderbilt University	November 14, 2023	GLC: Natasha Zaslove

34. With FNF taking the lead, FNF and GLC also facilitated the collection and production of documents from the named Plaintiffs' parents pursuant to a subpoena by the

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-1 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 26 of 44 PageID #:14970

Defendants. FNF attorneys also prepared and defended half-day depositions of two of the named Plaintiffs' parents.

35. Given the importance of the economic issues, Settlement Class Counsel retained multiple economists, in testifying and consulting capacities, to perform such roles as: (a) assisting attorneys with the initiation of the case; (b) providing information for the settlement process, including by computing damages and helping to devise an allocation plan; (c) examining and analyzing the structured data produced by Defendants and other relevant publicly available data and documents to facilitate the discovery process; (d) helping counsel secure the data needed to assess the alleged conspiracy and its impact and damages; (e) conducting economic analyses for class certification, summary judgment, and trial; and (f) preparing expert reports. Settlement Class Counsel, with FNF and BMPC handling almost all of the work, have devoted many hundreds of hours to working with experts, and facilitating provision of information to experts, for the various tasks described herein.

36. Settlement Class Counsel also oversaw the retention of two higher-education experts to assist with discovery, litigation, and settlement. These experts assisted in obtaining documents concerning Defendants from public sources and understanding the financial aid landscape, including the intersection of private and public funding, in elite higher education.

37. Settlement Class Counsel have worked together on all briefing. At times, the firms divided briefing into sections and then worked together to edit the brief and turn it into a coherent whole. At other times, one firm would take the lead on researching and drafting a brief while the other two firms would provide drafting and research support, as well as editing. With respect to general litigation matters, FNF generally handled oral argument. With respect to

discovery issues involving wealth favoritism, GLC generally handled oral argument. With respect to settlement matters, BMPC generally handled presentations before the Court.

38. The Court has closely and carefully monitored this case. Approximately every four to six weeks, the parties filed joint status reports and attended status conferences with the Court. In total, the parties have thus far filed 25 joint status reports, *see* ECF Nos. 107 (2/15/22); 132 (3/15/22); 188 (8/26/22); 222 (10/14/22); 245 (11/7/22); 252 (11/21/22); 255 (12/2/22); 256 (12/5/22); 274 (1/13/23); 319 (2/10/23); 329 (3/6/23); 344 (4/6/23); 377 (6/9/23); 394 (7/11/23); 422 (8/4/23); 431 (8/17/23); 450 (10/3/23); 464 (10/16/23); 525 (11/22/23); 589 (1/11/24); 615 (2/15/24); 633 (2/26/24); 644 (3/5/24); 649 (3/15/24); 662 (3/29/24), and attended seven status conferences (in addition to hearings on other matters, some of which included informal status conferences at the conclusion), *see* ECF Nos. 123 (2/22/22); 352 (4/20/23); 388 (6/16/23); 412 (7/24/23); 436 (8/24/23); 627 (2/22/24); 656 (3/22/24).

39. At the Court's request, joint status reports covered topics including case schedules, confidentiality orders, protocol for electronically stored information, FERPA issues, designation of custodians, deposition limits, use of unique identifiers for redactions of the names of donors and donor-linked applicants, production delays, production of financial aid formulae, and the negotiated special protective order. Each joint status report required ongoing back-and-forth negotiations with Defendants, including meet-and-confers, which often resulted in resolution of numerous discovery and case-management issues. Following the filing of many of these joint status reports, the Court would hold conferences to discuss certain outstanding issues either telephonically, through videoconference, or in person. Settlement Class Counsel worked together to prepare argument on the outstanding issues brought before the Court, designating a partner or shareholder most directly involved in the relevant issue to address the Court.

THE SETTLEMENTS

40. Plaintiffs entered into Settlement Agreements with ten Defendants during a period spanning between seventeen months and two years of hard-fought litigation.

41. The parties had an early mediation with former U.S. District Judge Layn Phillips and two additional mediators working with him: Miles Ruthberg and Clay Cogman. That mediation failed. Believing that it would be easier and strategically beneficial to resolve the case piecemeal, rather than globally, Settlement Class Counsel began by negotiating an icebreaker settlement with Chicago in the weeks and months before filing for preliminary approval of that settlement on September 9, 2023. As it would be the first settlement, Settlement Class Counsel understood both that it, and the related papers and agreements, would inevitably become a template for further settlements and discussions. Settlement Class Counsel worked hard to ensure that the first settlement was represented as an "icebreaker" so that it was clear that Plaintiffs gave a discount to the first Defendant to settle, which discount would materially diminish with successive resolutions. Settlement Class Counsel also distinguished Chicago as an outlier for a variety of substantive reasons. Because Chicago would be the first settlement, and there was significant time left for discovery, Settlement Class Counsel needed to ensure that Chicago would provide robust continuing discovery obligations, including obligations to produce structured data, and also be assured that it would produce witnesses for trial. Settlement Class Counsel also needed to negotiate each aspect of the long-form settlement agreement, including the class definition, the payment terms, the release, the provisions for termination of the settlement, and more. Settlement Class Counsel also needed to develop, with their experts, an allocation plan for the class members; a notice plan and forms of notice to the Settlement Class; and establish an escrow agreement to hold the settlement funds. These would become templates

for additional settlements, though each successive settlement involved certain additional amendments, edits, and changes to the papers to the extent negotiated and appropriate.

42. Once the Chicago settlement was announced, Settlement Class Counsel began negotiating with additional Defendants. For a variety of strategic and other reasons, Emory, Brown, and Yale were up next. Settlement Class Counsel worked with mediators Ruthberg and Cogman in several of these negotiations. Settlement Class Counsel worked hard to ensure that each successive settlement or group of settlements occurring in or around the same time would include more money than the last. Ultimately, Plaintiffs reached agreements in principle with Emory and Yale for \$18.5 million and then with Brown for \$19.5 million (plus continuing discovery obligations). Using the Chicago settlement agreement and other papers as a point of departure, Plaintiffs negotiated long-form agreements with these three Defendants. Following this grouping, Settlement Class Counsel next negotiated with Columbia and Duke, ultimately reaching resolution at the same time for \$24 million each.

43. With \$118 million now in settlements, Plaintiffs moved for preliminary approval of the "Second Tranche" of settlements. To do so, Settlement Class Counsel needed to create a new notice plan; new and updated forms of notice; a new escrow agreement; and an updated allocation plan. Even as these papers were being drafted and then filed, Settlement Class Counsel were negotiating with the next group of schools: Dartmouth and Rice. Plaintiffs ultimately reached resolution with these schools for \$33.75 million each (plus continuing discovery obligations) in or around the same time. Settlement Class Counsel then negotiated a settlement with Northwestern for \$43.5 million (plus continuing discovery obligations) with the assistance of the mediators. Finally, to round out this "Third Tranche" of settlements, Settlement Class Counsel negotiated an agreement with Vanderbilt for \$55 million (plus continuing discovery

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-1 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 30 of 44 PageID #:14974

obligations). For this Third Tranche of settlements, Settlement Class Counsel needed to prepare updated notice plans and notices; a further revised allocation plan; a new escrow agreement, and a new set of preliminary approval papers. Settlement Class Counsel worked to ensure that the class definition remained consistent throughout, and where changes were necessary, ensured that all Settling Defendants agreed to adopt the revisions. Settlement Class Counsel also endeavored to ensure that other aspects of each settlement were consistent across the settlements, while also being flexible enough to make changes where a particular Defendant had an issue that Settlement Class Counsel felt could fairly be resolved through compromise.

44. In pressing and pursuing these negotiations, Settlement Class Counsel had multiple meetings by phone, video conference, and in person; prepared talking points on substantive factual and legal matters sometimes in writing and sometimes for oral presentation; worked with our economic and other consultants to explain our damages methodology and the rationales for the various settlement demands and also to respond to the substantive arguments made by Defendants as part of the negotiations. These negotiations were complicated, given the number of parties involved. They also involved Settlement Class Counsel's strategic application of a process of moving up the settlement dollar ladder with each successive settlement or group of settlements. In this way, Defendants would be incentivized to settle, and do so sooner, or possibly be forced to pay more. Moreover, given joint and several liability and no contribution or claims reduction, with each successive settlement, the remaining non-settling Defendants' exposure to treble damages grows significantly. Settlement Class Counsel successfully used these dynamics to benefit the Settlement Class.

45. Prior to the execution of each long-form settlement agreement, Settlement Class Counsel would first reach an agreement in principle with each Defendant, sometimes committed

to writing in a term sheet and sometimes not. Settlement Class Counsel would then need to negotiate the long-form settlement agreements with each, and also prepare all of the preliminary approval papers, including a motion, memorandum of law, allocation plan, escrow agreement, joint declaration, notice plan, proposed long-form notice, proposed summary notice, and proposed order. Once all of those papers were completed and agreed to, Settlement Class Counsel would then finalize and execute settlement agreements with each Settling Defendant.

46. Settlement Class Counsel ultimately negotiated settlements with the following, class definition:

- a. All U.S. citizens or permanent residents who have during the Class Period (a) enrolled in one or more of Defendants' full-time undergraduate programs, (b) who received at least some need-based financial aid from one or more Defendants, and (c) whose tuition, fees, room, or board to attend one or more of Defendants' full-time undergraduate programs was not fully covered by the combination of any types of financial aid or merit aid (not including loans) in any undergraduate year.^[1] The Class Period is defined as follows:
 - i. For Chicago, Columbia, Cornell, Duke, Georgetown, MIT, Northwestern, Notre, Rice, Vanderbilt, Yale from Fall Term 2003 through February 28, 2024.
 - ii. For Brown, Dartmouth Emory from Fall Term 2004 through February 28, 2024.
 - iii. For Caltech from Fall Term 2019 through February 28, 2024.
 - iv. For Johns Hopkins from Fall Term 2021 through February 28, 2024.
- b. Excluded from the Class are:
 - i. Any Officers^[2] and/or Trustees of Defendants, or any current or former employees holding any of the following positions: Assistant or Associate Vice Presidents or Vice Provosts, Executive Directors, or Directors of Defendants' Financial Aid and Admissions Offices, or any Deans or Vice Deans, or any employees in Defendants' in-house legal offices; and
 - ii. the Judge presiding over this action, his or her law clerks, spouse, and any person within the third degree of relationship living in the Judge's household and the spouse of such a person.

^[1] For avoidance of doubt, the Class does not include those for whom the total cost of attendance, including tuition, fees, room, and board for each undergraduate academic year,

was covered by any form of financial or merit aid (not including loans) from one or more Defendants.

^[2] For the avoidance of doubt, the Columbia University "Officers" excluded from the Class are members of the Senior Administration of Columbia University, and do not include exempt employees of Columbia University who are referred to as officers.

47. Every Settlement Agreement contains provisions for continued discovery, tailored to each Settling Defendant given the discovery already and the information needed to effectively prosecute the case against the remaining Defendants, and ensure witnesses at trial. Seven of the ten Settlements contain provisions allowing for interviews of certain key witnesses or attorney proffers, designed to lead to sworn declarations from those witnesses on relevant issues. The three Settlements without provisions allowing for witness interviews (Columbia, Dartmouth, and Rice) require Defendants to either provide declarations without witness interviews or submit to other types of discovery such as additional interrogatories.

48. All Settling Defendants agreed that if the need were to arise, and an authenticity or hearsay objection was made by one or more Defendants regarding documents or data produced in the litigation, Settling Defendants would provide a declaration concerning authenticity and facts relevant to the application of the business records exception to the hearsay rule for documents or data qualifying as business records. In the event that such declarations were to be deemed insufficient to meet the requirements for the business records exception, Settling Defendants have each agreed to provide a trial witness for the sole purpose of providing support for the authenticity of the documents or data or the applicability of the business records exception for those documents and data.

49. Most Settling Defendants agreed to pay 50 percent of the settlement amount into the escrow fund within the later of (i) 30 calendar days after entry by the Court of preliminary

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-1 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 33 of 44 PageID #:14977

approval order on the docket of the Action, or (ii) 14 calendar days after Settlement Class Counsel provided Settling Defendants payment instructions.

50. After substantial negotiations, all Settling Defendants also agreed to a provision permitting termination of the Settlements if more than 650 Settlement Class Members chose to opt out of the Settlements. The Court ordered notice program began on March 29, 2024.

51. At the request of several Settling Defendants and following considerable negotiations, Settlement Class Counsel agreed to language included in all Settlement Agreements designed to preserve Settling Defendants' rights to recover monies from any relevant insurance policies, while also ensuring that Settlement Class Counsel's ability to prosecute the case was in no way diminished.

52. After the parties agreed to settle with the Chicago, BMPC solicited proposals from multiple claims administrators and, in consultation with the other Settlement Class Counsel, selected Angeion Group. BMPC also worked with the bank selected to serve as the escrow agent for the Settlement Fund, Huntington Bank, on the necessary documentation.

53. BMPC took the lead in drafting the preliminary approval papers—a motion, memorandum of law, joint declaration, allocation plan, escrow agreement, notice plan, proposed long-form notice, proposed summary notice, and proposed order—for all ten Settlements, with other Settlement Class Counsel providing ongoing support. Drafting these documents involved extensive correspondence with the proposed settlement claims administrator, the proposed escrow agent, and counsel for each of the Settling Defendants. Plaintiffs filed motions on August 14, 2023, January 23, 2024, and February 23, 2024 (ECF Nos. 428, 603, 629). The Court heard argument on these motions on August 24, 2023, February 12, 2024, and February 28, 2024 (ECF Nos. 436, 613, 637), respectively.

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-1 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 34 of 44 PageID #:14978

54. The Court granted preliminary approval for all of the settlements—for Chicago on September 9, 2023; for Brown, Columbia, Duke, Emory, and Yale on February 14, 2024; and for Dartmouth, Northwestern, Rice, and Vanderbilt on February 28, 2024 (ECF Nos. 439, 614, 638). In preliminarily approving the settlements, the Court provisionally certified the Class, appointed FNF, GLC, and BMPC as Settlement Class Counsel, appointed Angeion Group as the claims administrator, appointed Huntington Bank as escrow agent, approved Settlement Class Counsel's notice plan, and set a schedule for final approval.

55. Under the Court's February 28, 2024 Order granting preliminary approval for the third tranche of settlements and approving a schedule for completing the Settlement process, on March 29, 2024, the summary notice was emailed to hundreds of thousands Settlement Class Members, publication notice through various media sources was commenced, and long-form notice was mailed to 37,169 individuals for whom no email addresses were available, so that all members of the Settlement Class were put on notice that Settlement Class Counsel would seek these fees, costs, and service awards. With the caveat that members of the Settlement Class have until May 13, 2024 to object to or opt out of the Settlements, at present no individuals have objected and only threehave sought to exclude themselves from the Settlements (one of whom appears not to be a member of the Settlement Class).⁴

56. As of this date, more than forty thousand (40,000) Settlement Class Members have signed up with the claims administrator for updates about the Action and Settlements.

LITIGATION RISK

57. This case presents litigation risks on the merits that could have resulted in no recovery for the Settlement Class. Defendants have asserted multiple challenges to the merits of

⁴ One of the three individuals who opted out stated in her request for exclusion that she did not receive need-based financial aid from a Defendant.

Plaintiffs' claims, including that: (a) the statute of limitations excludes the vast majority of the Settlement Class and proposed class; (b) the relevant market is much broader than Plaintiffs assert, and Defendants therefore lack sufficient market power to cause anticompetitive effects; (c) the activities of the Defendants did not violate the antitrust laws; (d) the *per se* rule does not apply, and Defendants have procompetitive justifications for engaging in the alleged conduct that would survive a rule of reason analysis; and (e) certain Defendants are not liable or less liable because of their withdrawal from the 568 Presidents' Group.

58. While Plaintiffs continue to vigorously dispute Defendants' arguments, defenses, and assertions, there is always the risk of adverse outcomes on these and other issues, and the possibility of lengthy delays (including due to appeals) remains. Antitrust class actions are "arguably the most complex action(s) to prosecute. The legal and factual issues involved are always numerous and uncertain in outcome." *In re Packaged Ice Antitrust Litig.*, 2011 WL 6209188, at *19 (E.D. Mich. Dec. 13, 2011) (quoting *In re Linerboard Antitrust Litig.*, 292 F. Supp. 2d 631, 639 (E.D. Pa. 2003)); *see also Kleen Prods. LLC v. Int'l Paper Co.*, 2017 WL 5247928, at *5 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 17, 2017) ("Antitrust cases are particularly complex and risky.")

59. Settlement Class Counsel reasonably expect Defendants to fight class certification aggressively (including with a potential interlocutory appeal to the Seventh Circuit), as well as to file for summary judgment. Defendants have already asserted that they intend to challenge application of the discovery rule to the statute of limitations. Other outstanding legal issues include whether the *per se* or rule of reason mode of analysis applies; whether Defendants can maintain antitrust immunity while considering the socioeconomic status, including wealth, of an applicant's family in making admissions decisions; and whether a late-joining co-conspirator can be liable for prior acts of the conspiracy the co-conspirator supposedly did not know were

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-1 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 36 of 44 PageID #:14980

unlawful. In addition, numerous factually intense issues will likely be raised at summary judgment, including whether and to what extent Defendants applied the consensus methodology; whether and to what extent Defendants agreed to common principles of needs analysis or financial aid; whether any Defendants' purported withdrawals from the alleged conspiracy meet the standard required for withdrawal; whether and to what extent the proposed class was injured; and whether some or all Defendants admitted full-time, domestic undergraduate applicants on a need-aware basis. Moreover, assuming Plaintiffs survive summary judgment in whole or part, Plaintiffs would then need to win before a jury and hold any verdict on appeal before class members would see benefits absent these Settlements.

60. We have collectively prosecuted numerous antitrust class actions as lead counsel or in other leadership positions. We have each personally negotiated many class and non-class litigation settlements. In our opinion, the settlement agreements with the ten Defendants are fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Settlements avoid the delay and uncertainty of continued protracted litigation against these Defendants. They provide substantial benefits to members of the Settlement Class through compensation and ongoing discovery requirements—Settlement Class Members are expected to recover on average about \$2,000 each from these Settlements alone. In addition, the non-settling Defendants remain jointly and severally liable for the entire corpus of damages trebled, less the aggregate settlement value.

SERVICE OF THE CLASS REPRESENTATIVES

61. The eight Settlement Class Representatives—Andrew Corzo, Sia Henry, Alexander Leo-Guerra, Michael Maerlender, Brandon Piyevsky, Benjamin Shumate, Brittany Tatiana Weaver, and Cameron Williams— have each made and continues to make significant contributions to prosecuting this case against Defendants for the benefit of all members of the
Settlement Class. The Class Representatives each actively protected the interests of the Settlement Class by filing suit on behalf of the Settlement Class and undertaking all responsibilities involved in being a named Plaintiff in a class action, including: (1) monitoring the progress of the case; (2) retaining documents relevant to the case; (3) searching for and providing tens of thousands of documents each to Settlement Class Counsel for review and production to Defendants; and (4) preparing for and sitting for depositions.

62. Without Settlement Class Representatives' participation in the litigation, the Settlement Class would have recovered nothing. Accordingly, the proposed service awards of \$20,000 for each of the eight Settlement Class Representatives are well-deserved.

LODESTAR

63. Declarations in support of the lodestar and expenses of each firm involved in litigating the case are attached hereto as Exhibits 1 through 3. These Declarations provide the names of the attorneys and professional support staff who worked on the Action, their hourly rates (at the time the work was conducted) and number of hours billed, the lodestar value (at historical rates), and the expenses incurred by the firms. Settlement Class Counsel reviewed and audited the time and expense of each firm, including their own, to ensure that: (1) any time for work not authorized by Settlement Class Counsel and non-litigation-related time was removed; and (2) unreasonable or unapproved costs and expenses were removed. For example, time entries for unnecessary read and review and ministerial activities unrelated to litigation activities were eliminated and not included in this fee and expense application. Additionally, Settlement Class Counsel are not seeking fees for work done in connection with preparing the fee and expense application. The historical hourly rates charged by Settlement Class Counsel are reasonable,

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-1 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 38 of 44 PageID #:14982

based on each person's position, experience level, and location, and have been approved by multiple courts in similar antitrust class actions.

64. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of the Declaration of Eric L. Cramer in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses, and for Service Awards for the Class Representatives. Mr. Cramer is the Chairman of Berger Montague PC, one of the Settlement Class Counsel firms in this Action. Attached as Exhibit A to Mr. Cramer's Declaration is a true and correct summary identifying the Berger Montague attorneys, paralegals, and other staff who have worked on this litigation, the number of hours those individuals worked, their hourly billing rates and their respective lodestar values. Exhibit A sets forth Berger Montague's total hours and lodestar, by year at historical rates from inception of the case through and including March 31, 2024. The total number of hours spent by Berger Montague during this period was 22,351.1, with a corresponding total lodestar calculated at historical rates of \$17,053,643.00. Exhibit A also sets forth Berger Montague's total hours and lodestar using a blended hourly⁵ rate for each attorney and professional staff member for the period from inception of the case through and including March 31, 2024. The Declaration describes the litigation activities performed by Berger Montague attorneys and staff in this Action. Attached as Exhibit B to Mr. Cramer's Declaration is a schedule identifying a total of \$856,533.14 in unreimbursed costs and expenses, including contributions to the litigation fund (that Settlement Class Counsel established to pay for the major expenses in the case), in

⁵ A "blended rate" is the weighted average of each professional's hourly rate over the course of the entire case. For instance, if a lawyer who worked only during 2 years of the case billed 500 hours during year 1 of the case at a rate of \$300/hour and 1000 hours during year 2 of the case at \$310/hour, the blended hourly rate would be (500 hours x \$300/hour) plus (1000 hours x \$310/hour) divided by 1500 hours for a blended hourly rate of \$306.67/hour.

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-1 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 39 of 44 PageID #:14983

connection with the prosecution of the Action from inception of the case through and including March 31, 2024.

65. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of the Declaration of Robert D. Gilbert in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses. Mr. Gilbert is a Managing Partner of Gilbert Litigators & Counselors, P.C., one of the Settlement Class Counsel firms in this Action. Attached as Exhibit A to Mr. Gilbert's Declaration is a true and correct summary identifying the Gilbert Litigators attorneys, paralegals, and other staff who have worked on this litigation, the number of hours those individuals worked, their hourly billing rates and their respective lodestar values. Exhibit A sets forth Gilbert Litigators' total hours and lodestar, by year at historical rates from inception of the case through and including March 31, 2024. The total number of hours spent by Gilbert Litigators during this period was 36,232.3, with a corresponding total lodestar calculated at historical rates of \$31,368,140.00. Exhibit A also sets forth Gilbert Litigators' total hours and lodestar using a blended hourly rate for each attorney and professional staff for the period from inception of the case through and including March 31, 2024. The Declaration describes the litigation activities performed by Gilbert Litigators attorneys and staff in this Action. Attached as Exhibit B to Mr. Gilbert's Declaration is a schedule identifying a total of \$1,007,668.00 in unreimbursed costs and expenses, including contributions to the litigation fund, in connection with the prosecution of the Action from inception of the case through and including March 31, 2024.

66. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of the Declaration of Edward Normand in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees, for Reimbursement of Expenses, and for Service Awards for the Class Representatives. Mr. Normand is a partner of the law firm Freedman Normand Friedland LLP, one of the Settlement

Class Counsel firms in this Action. Attached as Exhibit A to Mr. Normand's Declaration is a true and correct summary identifying the Freedman Normand Friedland LLP attorneys, paralegals, and other staff who have worked on this litigation, the number of hours those individuals worked, their hourly billing rates and their respective lodestar values. Exhibit A sets forth Freedman Normand Friedland LLP's total hours and lodestar, by year at historical rates from inception of the case through and including March 31, 2024. The total number of hours spent by Freedman Normand Friedland LLP during this period was 32,730, with a corresponding total lodestar calculated at historical rates of \$21,729,128. Exhibit A also sets forth Freedman Normand Friedland LLP 's total hours and lodestar using a blended hourly rate for each attorney and professional staff for the period from inception of the case through and including March 31, 2024. The Declaration describes the litigation activities performed by Freedman Normand Friedland LLP attorneys and staff in this Action. Attached as Exhibit B to Mr. Normand's Declaration is a schedule identifying a total of \$996,717.71 in unreimbursed costs and expenses, including contributions to the litigation fund, in connection with the prosecution of the Action from inception of the case through and including March 31, 2024.

67. The following table shows the total hours and total lodestar using historical rates for each of the Settlement Class Counsel firms for this litigation:

FIRM	HOURS	LODESTAR
Freedman Normand Friedland	32,730	\$21,729,128.00
Gilbert Litigators & Counselors	36,232.3	\$31,368,140.00
Berger Montague PC	22,351.1	\$17,053,643.00
TOTALS	91,313.40	\$70,150,911.00

68. Experienced attorneys at Settlement Class Counsel firms undertook tasks appropriate for their levels of expertise, skill, and experience. Throughout the prosecution of this Action, work assignments were allocated among Settlement Class Counsel in a manner that was intended to promote efficiency and avoid unnecessary duplication of effort.

EXPENSES

69. Settlement Class Counsel have advanced all expenses required to litigate this Action, despite knowing that they might not recover any of these expenses. Settlement Class Counsel incurred reasonable out-of-pocket expenses, including expert fees, consulting costs, filing fees, computer research, deposition transcripts and court reporting, travel and accommodations, data storage and organization, and subpoena-related expenses.

70. The assistance of economic experts has proved and will continue to prove critical in prosecuting this complex antitrust conspiracy case. Because discovery spans seventeen Defendants over two decades, Defendants have produced approximately 240 million lines of structured data. Defendants produced these data disparately, as they are housed in different software systems and track different variables. For instance, one Defendant's need analysis data contains approximately 2,000 unique variables. Settlement Class Counsel's economic experts therefore must undertake the enormous task of standardizing, cleaning, and combining the produced data into usable datasets from which information regarding the pricing effects of the alleged conspiracy can be derived.

71. The following table shows Settlement Class Counsel's reasonable unreimbursed out-of-pocket expenses (excluding contributions to the litigation fund for joint expenses that are discussed below) in this case:

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-1 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 42 of 44 PageID #:14986

FIRM	NON-LITIGATION FUND EXPENSES
Freedman Normand Friedland LLP	\$90,717.71
Gilbert Litigators & Counselors, P.C.	\$173,668.00
Berger Montague PC	\$196,533.14
TOTAL	\$460,918.85

72. The majority of unreimbursed expenses incurred were paid out of a litigation fund escrow account. Settlement Class Counsel collectively contributed \$2,400,000.00 to the litigation fund. Unreimbursed expenses that have been paid from the litigation fund are shown below:

LITIGATION FUND / DISBURSEMENTS				
EXPENSE CATEGORY	AMOUNT			
Expert Consulting Fees	\$1,584,504.07			
E-Discovery/Hosting Vendor Fees	\$148,029.80			
Court Reporting, Transcript Services, Subpoena-Related Costs	\$144,424.08			
Mediation Costs	\$156,490.75			
Litigation Support Services	\$265,127.25			
Investigative Services	\$100,712.00			
TOTAL	\$2,399,287.95			

73. Unreimbursed expenses that are outstanding and due are shown below:

LITIGATION FUND / OUTSTANDING INVOICES				
EXPENSE CATEGORY AMOUNT				
Expert Consulting Fees \$539,325				

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-1 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 43 of 44 PageID #:14987

E-Discovery Vendor Fees	\$0.00
Court Reporting, Process Server, and Transcript Services	\$108,750.90
TOTAL	\$648,076.40

74. The total amount of Settlement Class Counsel's request for cost reimbursement is \$3,508,995.25, which is the total of unreimbursed out-of-pocket expenses, litigation fund expenditures, and outstanding invoices.

75. The amounts expended by each firm are shown in the individual Declarations attached hereto as Exhibits 1 to 3.

CONCLUSION

76. Settlement Class Counsel respectfully submit, for the reasons set forth above and in the accompanying memorandum of law, that the attorneys' fee application, the expense application, and request for service awards for the eight Class Representatives are reasonable and amply supported by the record and law.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on April 29, 2024, in New York, NY.

/s/ Edward Normand

Edward Normand FREEDMAN NORMAND FRIEDLAND LLP 99 Park Avenue, Suite 1910 New York, NY 10016 Tel: (646) 350-0527 tnormand@fnf.law Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-1 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 44 of 44 PageID #:14988

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on April 29, 2024, in Henderson, NV.

/s/ Robert D. Gilbert

Robert D. Gilbert* **GILBERT LITIGATORS** & COUNSELORS 11 Broadway, Suite 615 New York, NY 10004 Tel: (646) 448-5269 rgilbert@gilbertlitigators.com

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on April

29, 2024, in Philadelphia, PA.

/s/ Eric L. Cramer

Eric L. Cramer* BERGER MONTAGUE PC 1818 Market Street, Suite 3600 Philadelphia, PA 19106 Tel: (215) 875-3000 ecramer@bm.net Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-2 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 1 of 16 PageID #:14989

Exhibit 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

-

ANDREW CORZO, SIA HENRY, ALEXANDER LEO-GUERRA, MICHAEL MAERLENDER, BRANDON PIYEVSKY, BENJAMIN SHUMATE, BRITTANY TATIANA WEAVER, and CAMERON WILLIAMS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. 1:22-cv-00125
Plaintiffs,	Hon. Matthew F. Kennelly
v.	
BROWN UNIVERSITY, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, TRUSTEES OF DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, DUKE UNIVERSITY, EMORY UNIVERSITY, GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY, THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME DU LAC, THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, WILLIAM MARSH RICE UNIVERSITY, VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, and YALE UNIVERSITY,	

DECLARATION OF ERIC L. CRAMER IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES, FOR REMIBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES, <u>AND FOR SERVICE AWARDS FOR THE CLASS REPRESENTATIVES</u>

I, Eric L. Cramer, declare as follows:

1. I am the Chairman of the law firm Berger Montague PC. I submit this declaration

in support of Plaintiffs' motion for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses in connection with

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-2 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 3 of 16 PageID #:14991

the services rendered, and costs and expenses incurred, in *Henry, et al. v. Brown Univ., et al.*, Case No. 1:22-cv-00125 (the "Action"). I am fully familiar with this Action, and have personal knowledge of the matters set forth in, and attached to, this Declaration.

2. My firm served as one of the counsel for Plaintiffs and the proposed Settlement Class in the Action, and has been appointed as one of the three Settlement Class Counsel. *See* ECF Nos. 439, 614, 638 (appointing Settlement Class Counsel). The schedule attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct summary identifying the attorneys, paralegals, and other professional staff who have worked on this Action, the number of hours those individuals worked, their hourly billing rates and their respective lodestar values at historical rates. The schedule attached as Exhibit A sets forth my firm's total hours and lodestar, by year at historical rates from inception of the case through and including March 31, 2024. This schedule was prepared from contemporaneous, daily time records prepared and maintained by my firm. In connection with representing the Plaintiffs in the Action, my firm did the following:

(1) conducting an initial case investigation and drafting pleadings in cooperation with
 Settlement Class Counsel;

(2) briefing, with Settlement Class Counsel, oppositions to the three motions to dismiss, all of which were denied in their entirety;

(3) drafting a proposed protocol for the Production of Electronically StoredInformation, in cooperation with Settlement Class Counsel;

(4) negotiating and drafting, in cooperating with Settlement Class Counsel, aproposed Confidentiality Order and its two subsequent amendments;

(5) leading the drafting, proposing, and advocacy for the overall case schedule, which the Court largely adopted;

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-2 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 4 of 16 PageID #:14992

(6) leading negotiations for all Defendants with respect to production of structured data in consultation with economic experts, including participating in numerous and lengthy meet-and-confers with Defendants;

(7) meeting with Settlement Class Counsel at least twice weekly to discuss overall litigation strategy, including, *inter alia*, the best ways to conduct discovery, key documents and testimony, and organization of the factual record;

(8) drafting and serving, in cooperation with Settlement Class Counsel, extensive written discovery;

(9) negotiating, in cooperation with Settlement Class Counsel, custodians, ESI sources, search terms, and the temporal scope of Defendants' productions;

(10) managing a team of attorneys to efficiently and effectively review and analyze approximately 250,000 documents exceeding 1.2 million pages;

(11) principally drafting certain motions to compel and editing and providing input on numerous others;

(12) preparing for important fact depositions in this case by (a) identifying key
 documents to be used at each deposition, (b) preparing extensive deposition outlines, and (c)
 coordinating deposition strategy and questioning with Settlement Class Counsel;

(13) taking seventeen depositions, including of key fact witnesses, and assisting in the preparations of three additional depositions;

(14) drafting and filing, in cooperation with Settlement Class Counsel and Defendants,25 joint status reports and attending and participating in seven status conferences;

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-2 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 5 of 16 PageID #:14993

(15) Working closely with the expert economists and other experts in, *inter alia*, the procurement of data and information needed for their analyses and to ensure that their analyses fit the needs of the case;

(16) leading the settlement negotiations with Settling Defendants, which lasted several months, including by participating in several mediations and negotiating the individual settlement terms after settlements in principle had been reached; and

(17) leading the drafting and filing of preliminary approval papers for all Settlements to date.

Additional information and detail concerning Settlement Class Counsel's work investigating and litigating the Action is provided in the accompanying Joint Declaration of Edward Normand, Robert D. Gilbert, and Eric L. Cramer in Support of Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Support of Their Motion for Award Of Attorneys' Fees, for Reimbursement of Expenses, and for Service Awards for the Class Representatives.

3. The lodestar amount reflected in Exhibit A is for work performed by attorneys and professional staff at, or affiliated with, my firm for the benefit of the Settlement Class. The hourly rates for the attorneys and professional staff in my firm reflected in Exhibit A are the usual and customary hourly rates used by my firm in similar contingent complex litigation or other matters. My firm's work on this case was performed on a wholly contingent basis. My firm has a total lodestar (at historical rates) in connection with the initiation and prosecution of the Action from inception of the case through March 31, 2024 of \$17,053,643.00.

4. My firm has expended a total of \$856,533.14 in unreimbursed costs and expenses in connection with the prosecution of the Action from inception of the case through and including March 31, 2024. These costs are set forth in the Schedule attached as Exhibit B and are

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-2 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 6 of 16 PageID #:14994

reflected on the books and records of my firm. They were incurred on behalf of the Settlement Class by my firm and have not been reimbursed.

 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 29th day of April, 2024, in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

> <u>/s/ Eric L. Cramer</u> Eric L. Cramer

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-2 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 7 of 16 PageID #:14995

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

ANDREW CORZO, SIA HENRY, ALEXANDER LEO-GUERRA, MICHAEL MAERLENDER, BRANDON PIYEVSKY, BENJAMIN SHUMATE, BRITTANY TATIANA WEAVER, and CAMERON WILLIAMS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. 1:22-cv-00125
Plaintiffs,	Hon. Matthew F. Kennelly
V.	
BROWN UNIVERSITY, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, TRUSTEES OF DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, DUKE UNIVERSITY, EMORY UNIVERSITY, GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY, THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME DU LAC, THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, WILLIAM MARSH RICE UNIVERSITY, VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, and YALE UNIVERSITY,	
Defendants.	

EXHIBIT A TO THE DECLARATION OF ERIC L. CRAMER IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES, FOR REMIBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES, AND FOR SERVICE <u>AWARDS FOR THE CLASS REPRESENTATIVES</u>

Reported Hours and Historical Lodestar

NAME	POSITION	HOURS	RATE	LODESTAR	YEAR
		128.90	990.00	127,611.00	2021
Courses Enio	CII	432.70	1,100.00	475,970.00	2022
Cramer, Eric	СН	889.90	1,160.00	1,032,284.00	2023
		187.00	1,390.00	259,930.00	2024
		37.80	500.00	18,900.00	2021
Liter Dalart E	C	1,144.20	1,100	1,258,620.00	2022
Litan, Robert E.	S	1,590.40	1,150.00	1,828,960.00	2023
		312.60	1,350.00	422,010.00	2024
Davis, Joshua	S	1.70	980.00	1,666.00	2022
N	G	583.50	825.00	481,387.50	2023
Noteware, Ellen	S	194.20	1,110.00	213,620.00	2024
Dualas E. M. 1. 11.	G	1.70	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1,394.00	2021
Drake, E. Michelle	S	2.00	920.00	1,840.00	2022
		59.30	660.00	39,138.00	2021
Walker, Daniel	S	114.30	730.00	83,439.00	2022
		127.40	775.00	98,735.00	2023
Madden, Patrick	S	2.80	690.00	1,932.00	2022
F 1 11 XX7'11'	А	56.10	535.00	30,013.50	2023
Fedullo, William		0.30	635.00	190.50	2024
0 1 11 1	•	71.10	520.00	36,972.00	2023
Gradwohl, Jeremy	Α	112.30	605.00	67,941.50	2024
		537.90	490.00	263,571.00	2022
Brinn, Hope	А	1,556.60	525.00	817,215.00	2023
		390.60	610.00	238,266.00	2024
Longon David	SC	162.90	735.00	119,731.50	2023
Langer, David	30	148.60	70 1,100.00 90 1,160.00 90 1,390.00 30 500.00 30 500.00 30 1,100 40 1,150.00 50 1,350.00 50 1,350.00 50 1,350.00 70 980.00 50 825.00 70 820.00 70 820.00 70 820.00 70 820.00 70 820.00 70 820.00 70 820.00 70 820.00 70 820.00 70 820.00 70 60 660.00 775.00 30 690.00 735.00 50 870.00 70 60 610.00 70 60 610.00 70 60 610.00 70 60 610.00	129,282.00	2024
		14.20	660.00	9,372.00	2022
Schwartz, Richard	SC	146.60	690.00	101,154.00	2023
		125.80	850.00	106,930.00	2024
Aviles, Natisha	SC	23.20	595.00	13,804.00	2023
Aviies, Ivalislia	30	82.60	695.00	57,407.00	2024
Hartman, Matthew	С	140.00	685.00	95,900.00	2023
Haitilall, Matthew	C	311.00	785.00	244,135.00	2024
Cononhouse John	C	1,252.10	675.00	845,167.50	2023
Copenhaver, John	С	611.30	775.00	473,757.50	2024
Soweruk Laro	C	301.30	585.00	176,260.50	2023
Sawczuk, Lara	С	480.00	685.00	328,800.00	2024
Federbusch, Stephen	С	154.30	685.00	105,695.50	2023
	C	113.30	605.00	68,546.50	2023
Ahmad, Zubair	ubair C -	0.60		423.00	2024

Inception through March 31, 2024

NAME	POSITION	HOURS	RATE	LODESTAR	YEAR
Hamner, Peter	С	1.20	585.00	702.00	2023
	G	268.00	540.00	144,720.00	2023
Gallucci, Dominic	С	528.30	540.00	285,282.00	2024
A	DD	206.10	775.00	159,727.50	2023
Ajayi, Lillian	DR	260.10	850.00	221,085.00	2024
Alman David	DD	297.00	745.00	221,265.00	2023
Alper, David	DR	248.60	825.00	205,095.00	2024
Caesar, Sharon	DR	320.00	710.00	227,200.00	2023
Caesal, Sharon	DK	220.00	800.00	176,000.00	2024
Carty, Tom	DR	320.00	705.00	225,600.00	2023
Carty, Iom	DK	217.00	790.00	171,430.00	2024
Park, Sye	DR	317.70	685.00	217,624.50	2023
I alk, Syc	DK	250.70	770.00	193,039.00	2024
North, Rhonda	DR	315.90	655.00	206,914.50	2023
North, Khohda	DK	259.00	750.00	194,250.00	2024
Othman, Yaser	DR	280.00	625.00	175,000.00	2023
Otililiali, Tasci	DK	260.00	720.00	187,200.00	2024
Gardner, Dorry	DR	280.00	625.00	175,000.00	2023
Gardiler, Dorry	DK	248.30	720.00	178,776.00	2024
Rutledge, Diva	DR	280.10	625.00	175,062.50	2023
Kutteuge, Diva	DK	223.10	720.00	160,632.00	2024
Olivier, Vaness	DR	283.20	625.00	177,000.00	2023
Unvier, valless	DK	224.70	720.00	161,784.00	2024
Cohen, Carson	DR	320.00	615.00	196,800.00	2023
Collell, Carsoli	DK	259.50	720.00	186,840.00	2024
Ahearn, Donald	DR	320.00	635.00	203,200.00	2023
Allealli, Dollalu	DK	259.80	730.00	189,654.00	2024
Igbinoghene, Valeria	DR	280.80	575.00	161,460.00	2023
iguniognene, valena	DK	238.30	650.00	154,895.00	2024
Ataande, Achumboro	DR	320.00	575.00	184,000.00	2023
Ataande, Achumboro	DR	260.00	650.00	169,000.00	2024
Marquez, Melissa	DR	289.80	575.00	166,635.00	2023
Marquez, Menssa	DR	227.80	650.00	148,070.00	2024
Park, David	DR	157.50	565.00	88,987.50	2023
Hebert IV, John	DR	4.40	340.00	1,496.00	2023
Filbert David	DI	89.60	420.00	37,632.00	2023
Filbert, David	PL	56.20	450.00	25,290.00	2024
Vorly Elizabeth	DI	260.90	420.00	109,578.00	2023
York, Elizabeth	PL	91.30	705.00 790.00 685.00 770.00 655.00 750.00 625.00 720.00 625.00 720.00 625.00 720.00 625.00 720.00 625.00 720.00 625.00 720.00 635.00 720.00 635.00 730.00 575.00 650.00 575.00 650.00 575.00 650.00 575.00 650.00 575.00 650.00 575.00 650.00 575.00 650.00 565.00 340.00 420.00 450.00	41,085.00	2024
Choe, Caroline	PL	3.50	405.00	1,417.50	2023
Ginis, Haroula	PL	13.50	405.00	5,467.50	2023

NAME	POSITION	HOURS	RATE	LODESTAR	YEAR
Willett, Michelle	PL	72.90	405.00	29,524.50	2023
Werwinski, Diane	PL	1.20	390.00	468.00	2022
	I L	3.40	410.00	1,394.00	2023
Brandy, Max	PL	6.50	390.00	2,535.00	2023
Caha Daahal	DI	5.50	310.00	1,705.00	2021
Gebo, Rachel	PL	20.90	390.00	8,151.00	2022
	PL	2.50	300.00	750.00	2021
Loo Sucon		1.10	340.00	374.00	2022
Leo, Susan		3.80	375.00	1,425.00	2023
		1.70	450.00	765.00	2024
Wolfinger, Caitlin	PL	5.00	340.00	1,700.00	2022
		11.60	240.00	2,784.00	2022
Magnus, Eleanor	LA	2.30	260.00	598.00	2023
Wagnus, Eleanor		3.40	285.00	969.00	2024
Simon Comio	ТА	17.30	240.00	4,152.00	2022
Simon, Connie	LA	27.00	240.00	6,480.00	2023
TOTAL		22,351.1		17,053,643.00	

Role Legend

- P Chairman
- S Shareholder
- SC Senior Counsel
- OC Of Counsel
- C Counsel
- DR Document Review Attorney
- A Associate
- LC Law Clerk
- PL Paralegal
- LA Legal Assistant (when doing paralegal work)

Reported Total Hours and Blended Rate Lodestar

NAME	POSITION	LAW SCHOOL GRAD DATE	HOURS	BLENDED RATE	LODESTAR
Cramer, Eric	СН	1993	1,638.50	1,157.03	1,895,795.00
Litan, Robert	S	1977	3,085.00	1,143.76	3,528,490.00
Davis, Joshua	S	1993	1.7	980.00	1,666.00
Noteware, Ellen	S	1993	777.7	893.68	695,007.50
Drake, E. Michelle	S	2001	3.7	874.05	3,234.00
Walker, Daniel	S	2005	301	735.26	221,312.00
Madden, Patrick	S	2010	2.8	690.00	1,932.00
Fedullo, William	А	2019	56.4	535.53	30,204.00
Gradwohl, Jeremy	А	2021	183.4	572.05	104,913.50
Brinn, Hope	А	2020	2,485.10	530.78	1,319,052.00
Langer, David	SC	1999	311.5	799.4	249,013.50
Schwartz, Richard	SC	2004	286.6	758.74	217,456.00
Aviles, Natisha	SC	2010	105.8	673.07	71,211.00
Hartman, Matthew	С	1997	451	753.96	340,035.00
Copenhaver, John	С	1999	1,863.40	707.81	1,318,925.00
Sawczuk, Lara	С	2011	781.3	646.44	505,060.50
Ahmad, Zubair	С	2007	113.9	605.52	68,969.50
Hamner, Peter	С	2010	1.2	585.00	702.00
Gallucci, Dominic	С	2017	796.3	540.00	430,002.00
Ajayi, Lillian	DR	1982	466.2	816.84	380,812.50
Alper, David	DR	1985	545.6	781.45	426,360.00
Caesar, Sharon	DR	1991	540	746.67	403,200.00
Carty, Tom	DR	1996	537	739.35	397,030.00
Park, Sye	DR	1998	568.4	722.49	410,663.50
North, Rhonda	DR	2003	574.9	697.8	401,164.50
Federbusch, Stephen	DR	2000	154.3	685.00	105,695.50
Othman, Yaser	DR	2008	540	670.74	362,200.00
Gardner, Dorry	DR	2008	528.3	669.65	353,776.00
Rutledge, Diva	DR	2008	503.2	667.12	335,694.50
Olivier, Vaness	DR	2008	507.9	667.03	338,784.00
Cohen, Carson	DR	2009	579.5	639.93	383,640.00
Ahearn, Donald	DR	2007	579.8	633.84	392,854.00
Igbinoghene, Valeria	DR	2015	519.1	609.43	316,355.00
Ataande, Achumboro	DR	2015	580	608.62	353,000.00
Marquez, Melissa	DR	2015	517.6	608.00	314,705.00
Park, David	DR	2016	157.5	565.00	88,987.50
Hebert IV, John	DR	1989	4.4	340.00	1,496.00
Filbert, David	PL		145.8	431.56	62,922.00

Inception through March 31, 2024

NAME	POSITION	LAW	HOURS	BLENDED	LODESTAR
		SCHOOL		RATE	
		GRAD			
		DATE			
York, Elizabeth	PL		352.2	427.78	150,663.00
Choe, Caroline	PL		3.5	405.00	1,417.50
Ginis, Haroula	PL		13.5	405.00	5,467.50
Willett, Michelle	PL		72.9	405.00	29,524.50
Werwinski, Diane	PL		4.6	404.78	1,862.00
Brandy, Max	PL		6.5	390.00	2,535.00
Gebo, Rachel	PL		26.4	373.33	9,856.00
Leo, Susan	PL		9.1	364.18	3,314.00
Wolfinger, Caitlin	PL		5	340.00	1,700.00
Magnus, Eleanor	LA		17.3	251.50	4,351.00
Simon, Connie	LA		44.3	240.00	10,632.00
TOTAL			22,351.1		17,053,643.00

Role Legend

- CH Chairman
- S Shareholder
- SC Senior Counsel
- OC Of Counsel
- C Counsel
- DR Document Review Attorney
- A Associate
- LC Law Clerk
- PL Paralegal
- LA Legal Assistant (when doing paralegal work)

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-2 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 14 of 16 PageID #:15002

EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

ANDREW CORZO, SIA HENRY, ALEXANDER LEO-GUERRA, MICHAEL MAERLENDER, BRANDON PIYEVSKY, BENJAMIN SHUMATE, BRITTANY TATIANA WEAVER, and CAMERON WILLIAMS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. 1:22-cv-00125
Plaintiffs,	Hon. Matthew F. Kennelly
v.	
BROWN UNIVERSITY, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, TRUSTEES OF DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, DUKE UNIVERSITY, EMORY UNIVERSITY, GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY, THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME DU LAC, THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, WILLIAM MARSH RICE UNIVERSITY, VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, and YALE UNIVERSITY,	
Defendants.	

EXHIBIT B TO THE DECLARATION OF ERIC L. CARMER IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES, FOR REMIBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES, AND FOR SERVICE <u>AWARDS FOR THE CLASS REPRESENTATIVES</u>

Reported Expenses on Behalf of Plaintiffs

Inception through March 31, 2024

Category	Amount
Litigation Fund Contributions	660,000.00
Photocopying	31,964.25
Service of Process	0.00
Computer Research	10,719.92
Court Fees (filings, etc.)	750.00
Court Reports/Transcripts	9,837.98
Telephone/Fax	60.95
Postage	4,082.56
Overnight Delivery/Messengers	3,833.26
Expert Fees	5,000.00
Witness/Service Fees	0.00
Travel: Air Transportation, Ground Travel, Meals, Lodging, etc.	49,232.79
Database Hosting Services	77,446.83
Co-Counsel Fees	0.00
Outside Contractor	142.20
Publication	2817.99
Miscellaneous	644.41
Total	856,533.14

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-3 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 1 of 19 PageID #:15005

Exhibit 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

ANDREW CORZO, SIA HENRY, ALEXANDER LEO- GUERRA, MICHAEL MAERLENDER, BRANDON PIYEVSKY, BENJAMIN SHUMATE, BRITTANY TATIANA WEAVER, and CAMERON WILLIAMS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. 1:22-cv-00125
Plaintiffs,	
v.	Hon. Matthew F. Kennelly
BROWN UNIVERSITY, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, TRUSTEES OF DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, DUKE UNIVERSITY, EMORY UNIVERSITY, GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY, THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME DU LAC, THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, WILLIAM MARSH RICE UNIVERSITY, VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, and YALE UNIVERSITY,	
Defendants.	

DECLARATION OF ROBERT D. GILBERT IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND LITIGATION EXPENSES

I, Robert D. Gilbert, declare as follows:

1. I am Managing Partner of Gilbert Litigators & Counselors, P.C. ("GLC") I submit

this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' motion for an award of attorneys' fees and litigation

expenses in connection with the services rendered, and costs and expenses incurred by GLC, in

Andrew Corzo, Sia Henry, et al., v. Brown University, et al. (the "Action"). I am fully familiar

with this Action, and have personal knowledge of the matters in this declaration and its Exhibits.

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-3 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 3 of 19 PageID #:15007

2. GLC serves as one of the counsel for Plaintiffs and the proposed Settlement Class in the Action, and has been appointed as one of the three Settlement Class Counsel, along with Freedman Normand Friedland LLP ("FNF") and Berger Montague P.C. ("BMPC"). *See* ECF Nos. 439, 614, 638 (appointing Settlement Class Counsel). I personally have been engaged in overseeing the work conducted by Gilbert Litigators & Counselors in this matter. The schedule attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct summary identifying the attorneys and paralegal at GLC who have worked on this litigation, GLC's total hours and lodestar, by year at historical rates from inception of the case through and including March 31, 2024. The total number of hours spent by GLC during this period was 36,232.3, with a corresponding total lodestar calculated at historical rates of \$31,368,140. Exhibit A also sets forth GLC's total hours and lodestar using a blended hourly¹ rate for each attorney and professional staff for the period from inception of the case through and including March 31, 2024. This schedule was based upon contemporaneous, daily time records prepared and maintained by GLC.

3. As was stated in the February 9, 2022 "Declaration of Robert D. Gilbert in Support of Motion to Appoint Interim Co-Lead Class Counsel", "I have assembled the ... co-lead counsel team with an extraordinary breadth and depth legal, subject matter, antitrust, class action, and Seventh Circuit expertise...." (¶3) In connection with representing the Plaintiffs in the Action, GLC spent enormous time and effort litigating this case, including the following:

- Extensive pre-filing investigation of Defendants' anticompetitive conduct and violation of the 568 Exemption, and consultation with an economist;
- Research and analysis of legislative history;

¹ A "blended rate" is the weighted average of each professional's hourly rate over the course of the entire case. For instance, if a lawyer who worked only during 2 years of the case billed 500 hours during year 1 of the case at a rate of \$300/hour and 1000 hours during year 2 of the case at \$310/hour, the blended hourly rate would be (500 hours x \$300/hour) plus (1000 hours x \$310/hour) divided by 1500 hours for a blended hourly rate of \$306.67/hour.

- Legal research under Seventh Circuit law regarding joint and several liability issues, statute of limitations issues, the law of conspiracy, class certification, and potential defenses;
- Legal research regarding the U.S. Justice Department litigation against the Overlap Group in the 1990s;
- In collaboration with FNF and BMPC, drafting and filing the initial class action complaint as well as the amended complaint and the second amended complaint on behalf of the Plaintiffs;
- Drafting the brief in opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss and, in collaboration with FNF and BMPC, editing and finalizing the brief;
- In collaboration with FNF and BMPC, propounding document demands and interrogatories;
- In collaboration with FNF and BMPC, drafting the initial Rule 26(f) report and case management order and the ensuing negotiations with Defendants on both;
- In collaboration with FNF and BMPC, negotiating and drafting, a proposed Confidentiality Order and its two subsequent amendments;
- Together with FNF and BMPC, drafting multiple additional Joint Status Reports for the Court and conducting negotiations with Defendants on all of those reports;
- Participating in weekly joint video conferences with the other Settlement Counsel to discuss overall litigation strategy, including the best ways to conduct discovery, which encompassed discussing key documents and testimony, organizing the factual record for litigation purposes, assigning responsibility for preparation of motions and briefs, coordinating the strategy as to 17 different defendants, and making sure that

necessary tasks are completed in a timely manner;

- Organizing, reviewing, and analyzing voluminous documents produced by Defendants and third parties for use (a) in depositions of Defendants, (b) by Plaintiffs' economists, and (c) for purposes of mediation and settlement negotiations;
- Analyzing the requirements of the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), and drafting and finalizing multiple briefs on those issues, and representing Plaintiffs in several oral arguments on those issues;
- Participating in negotiating Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Interrogatories over the course of multiple meet-and-confers and through the exchange of multiple rounds of correspondence;
- Drafting, and in conjunction with FNF and BMPC editing, and ultimately arguing in Court, multiple motions to compel;
- Preparing for fact depositions in this case by (a) identifying key documents to be used at each deposition, (b) preparing extensive deposition outlines, and (c) and coordinating deposition strategy;
- Taking 23 fact depositions, including:

Witness	Witness Affiliation		Primary Firm	Other Plaintiff	
			Attorney	Attorneys Present	
James Tilton	Brown University	5/15/23	Gilbert: R.	Gilbert: S.	
	Former Head of Financial Aid		Gilbert	Magnusson	
Melissa Costanzi	Georgetown U., Senior	6/29/23	Gilbert; R.	Gilbert: S.	
	Associate Director of		Gilbert	Magnusson	
	Admissions				
John McLaughlin	University of Pennsylvania	7/12/23	Gilbert: R.	Gilbert: S. Schuster	
	Director of Admissions		Raymar		
Brent Tener	Vanderbilt U.	7/12/23	Gilbert: R.	Gilbert: S.	
	Director, Student Financial		Gilbert	Magnusson	
	Aid and Scholarships				
Martin Schapiro	Northwestern U.	7/18/23	Gilbert: R.	Berger: H. Brinn	
	Former President		Gilbert		
Elaine Varas	University of Pennsylvania	8/2/23	Gilbert: R.	Gilbert: S.	
	Financial Aid		Raymar	Magnusson	
John Gaines	Vanderbilt U.	8/3/23	Gilbert: R.	Gilbert: D.	
	Director of Undergraduate		Gilbert	Copeland	
	Admissions				

Witness	Affiliation	Date	Primary Firm Attorney	Other Plaintiff Attorneys Present	
Kathryn Tuman	Columbia U. Senior Financial Aid Specialist	9/8/23	Gilbert: E. Villarreal	Gilbert: F. Melgar	
Michael Hall Columbia U. Executive Director Financial Aid		9/13/23	Gilbert: E. Villarreal	Gilbert: N. Zaslove	
Jessica Marinaccio			Gilbert: E. Villarreal	Gilbert: N. Zaslove	
Christopher Watson	Northwestern U. AVP for Student Outreach and Dean of Undergraduate Enrollment	10/10/23	Gilbert: R. Gilbert	Berger: H. Brinn	
Sarah Harberson	University of Pennsylvania Admissions	10/25/23	Gilbert: R. Raymar	Gilbert: N. Zaslove	
Valeria Youmans	Georgetown U., Admissions - Executive Assistant	11/7/23	Gilbert: R. Gilbert	Gilbert: S. Magnusson	
Charles Deacon	Georgetown U., Dean of Admissions	11/9/23	Gilbert: R. Gilbert	Gilbert: D. Copeland	
Douglas Christiansen	-		Gilbert: R. Gilbert	Gilbert: D. Copeland	
Melissa Costanzi	Georgetown U., Senior Associate Director of Admissions	1/25/24	Gilbert: R. Gilbert	Gilbert: S. Magnusson	
Andrew Koenig	Georgetown U. Assistant to the President for Admissions and Special Programs	2/13/24	Gilbert: R. Gilbert	Gilbert: S. Magnusson	
John DeGioia	Georgetown, U. President	2/16/24	Gilbert: R. Gilbert	Gilbert: S. Magnusson	
Elaine Varas	Elaine Varas University of Pennsylvania, Director of Financial Aid		Gilbert: R. Raymar	Gilbert: N. Zaslove	
Eric Furda	Eric Furda University of Pennsylvania, Dean of Admissions		Gilbert: R. Raymar	Gilbert: R. Niyogi	
John Zeller	John Zeller University of Pennsylvania, Former Senior Vice President of Development and Alumni Relations		Gilbert: R. Raymar	Gilbert: R. Niyogi	
R. Bartley Moore	R. Bartley Moore Georgetown U., Vice President for Advancement		Gilbert: R. Gilbert	Gilbert: S. Magnusson	
John DeGioia	Georgetown U. President	4/1/24	Gilbert: R. Gilbert	Gilbert: S. Magnusson	

Witness	Affiliation	Date	Primary Firm	Other Plaintiff	
			Attorney	Attorneys Present	
Matt Lewis	Georgetown U.	10/27/23	BMPC: D.	Gilbert: S.	
	Assistant Dean of Financial		Walker	Magnusson	
	Aid				
Eric Furda	University of Pennsylvania	11/16/23	FNF: T.	Gilbert: N. Zaslove	
	Former Dean of Admissions		Normand		
Joel Carstens	University of Pennsylvania	11/21/23	FNF: R. Cipolla	Gilbert: N. Zaslove	
	Former Director of Financial				
	Aid				
Patricia McWade	Georgetown U., Dean of	12/8/2023	BMPC: E.	Gilbert: S.	
	Student Financial Services		Noteware	Magnusson	
Matt Sessa	University of Pennsylvania,	12/15/23	FNF: R. Cipolla	Gilbert: N. Zaslove	
	Associate Vice President,				
	Student Registration &				
	Financial Services				
Jeffrey Gall	Jeffrey Gall Georgetown U., Associate		BMPC: E.	Gilbert: S.	
	Dean of Financial Aid		Noteware	Magnusson	
Brent Tener	Brent Tener Vanderbilt U., Assistant		BMPC: R. Litan	Gilbert: D.	
	Provost and Executive			Copeland	
	Director of Student Financial				
	Aid and Scholarships				

• In addition, GLC provided support to our co-counsel on the following 7 depositions:

- Defending the deposition of Brittany Tatiana Weaver, a Plaintiff, on November 14, 2023;
- Drafting and editing motions to compel discovery and oral arguments on those motions;
- Preparation of an extensive mediation memorandum on all key points of facts and law, participating in the mediations before the Hon. Layn Phillips of Phillips ADR and the subsequent settlement negotiations, with the assistance of Miles N. Ruthberg, Esq. and Clay Cogman, Esq. of Phillips ADR, which included numerous telephonic and email discussions encompassing all the key settlement terms and conditions, including, inter

alia, Settlement Fund amount, the details of additional discovery to be taken of settling Defendants, and the scope of the release. The negotiations lasted weeks and were followed by weeks of detailed discussions and bank-and-forth negotiations over the precise language of the Settlement Agreement; and

Participating in direct settlement negotiations with multiple Defendants, which also
included preparation of memos on the salient points, numerous telephonic and email
discussions encompassing all the key settlement terms and conditions, including, inter
alia, Settlement Fund amount, the details of additional discovery to be taken of settling
Defendants, and the scope of the release.

4. The lodestar amount reflected in Exhibit A is for work performed by attorneys and professional staff at GLC for the benefit of the Settlement Classes. The historical hourly rates for the attorneys and professional staff in GLC reflected in Exhibit A are the usual and customary hourly rates used by GLC. GLC's work on this case was performed on a contingent basis.

5. GLC has expended a total of \$1,007,669 in costs and expenses, including contributions to the litigation fund, in connection with the prosecution of the Action from inception of the case through and including March 31, 2024. These costs are set forth in the Schedule attached as Exhibit B and are reflected on the books and records of GLC. They were incurred on behalf of the Plaintiffs by GLC.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: Henderson, Nevada April 29, 2024 <u>/s/Robert D. Gilbert</u> Robert D. Gilbert Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-3 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 10 of 19 PageID #:15014

EXHIBIT A

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-3 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 11 of 19 PageID #:15015

Reported Total Hours and Historical Rate Lodestar Inception through March 2024

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

ANDREW CORZO, SIA HENRY, ALEXANDER LEO- GUERRA, MICHAEL MAERLENDER, BRANDON PIYEVSKY, BENJAMIN SHUMATE, BRITTANY TATIANA WEAVER, and CAMERON WILLIAMS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. 1:22-cv-00125
Plaintiffs,	
v.	Hon. Matthew F. Kennelly
BROWN UNIVERSITY, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, TRUSTEES OF DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, DUKE UNIVERSITY, EMORY UNIVERSITY, GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY, THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME DU LAC, THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, WILLIAM MARSH RICE UNIVERSITY, VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, and YALE UNIVERSITY,	
Defendants.	

EXHIBIT A TO THE DECLARATION OF ROBERT D. GILBERT IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND LITIGATION EXPENSES

Name	Position	Law School	Bar	Year	Hours	Rate	Lodestar
			Admission				
			_				
Robert D.	MP	Yale	1982	2021 and	791.3	\$1,400	\$1,107,820
Gilbert				earlier			
Robert D.	MP	Yale	1982	2022	2,608.7	\$1,400	\$3,652,180
Gilbert							
Robert D.	MP	Yale	1982	2023	2,935.5	\$1,485	\$4,359,218
Gilbert							
Robert D.	MP	Yale	1982	1/24-3/24	625.7	\$1,495	\$935,422
Gilbert							
∃pidio	Р	Yale	1985	2021	19.6	\$1,400	\$27,440
Villarreal							
⊟pidio	Р	Yale	1985	2022	417.8	\$1,400	\$584,920
Villarreal							
∃pidio	Р	Yale	1985	2023	870.3	\$1,485	\$1,292,396
Villarreal							
∃pidio	Р	Yale	1985	1/24-3/24	67.9	\$1,495	\$101,511
Villarreal							
Robert S.	SC	Yale	1972	2021	55.2	\$1,300	\$71,760
Raymar							
Robert S.	SC	Yale	1972	2022	863.8	\$1,300	\$1,122,940
Raymar			10-0				<u> </u>
Robert S.	SC	Yale	1972	2023	1,110.4	\$1,380	\$1,532,352
Raymar							
Robert S.	SC	Yale	1972	1/24-3/24	204.3	\$1,390	\$283,977
Raymar		<u> </u>				.	<u> </u>
David	С	Fordham	1985	2023	1,201.7	\$1,165	\$1,399,981
Copeland			1005			A. 175	* =0.4,000
David	С	Fordham	1985	1/24-3/24	503.2	\$1,175	\$591,260
Copeland			1001			.	* ****
Bruce S.	С	University of	1984	2022	299.5	\$1,100	\$329,450
Etterman		Pennsylvania	1001			.	<u> </u>
Bruce S.	С	Universityof	1984	2023	810.2	\$1,165	\$943,883
Etterman		Pennsylvania	4077	0004		.	\$ 40,000
Matthew E	С	George	1977	2021	9.3	\$1,100	\$10,230
Moloshok		Washington					
NA-441 -		University	4077	0000	440.0	#4 400	<i>Ф454</i> 000
Matthew E	С	George	1977	2022	140.3	\$1,100	\$154,330
Moloshok		Washington					
		University	4077	0000	140 5		\$470 0 7 0
Matthew E	С	George	1977	2023	146.5	\$1,165	\$170,673
Moloshok		Washington					
		University					

Reported Total Hours and Historical Rate Lodestar Inception through March 2024
Name	Position	Law School	Bar	Year	Hours	Rate	Lodestar
			Admission				
Robert B.	С	University of	1986	2021	41.4	\$1,100	\$45,540
Rosen		Pennsylvania					
Robert B.	С	University of	1986	2022	283.0	\$1,100	\$311,300
Rosen		Pennsylvania					
Robert B.	С	University of	1986	2023	288.9	\$1,165	\$336,569
Rosen		Pennsylvania					
Natasha	SA	Hastings Colloge	1995	2023	649.0	\$840	\$545,160
Zaslove		of Law					
Natasha	SA	Hastings Colloge	1995	1/24-3/24	429.8	\$875	\$376,075
Zaslove		of Law					
Alexis	SA	Fordham	2013	2022	321.7	\$700	\$225,190
Marquez							
Alexis	SA	Fordham	2013	2023	328.1	\$740	\$242,794
Marquez							
Sarah	SA	New York	2015	2022	650.5	\$700	\$455,350
Schuster		University					
Sarah	SA	New York	2015	2023	433.7	\$740	\$320,938
Schuster		University					
Steven	SA	George	2017	2022	475.0	\$700	\$332,500
Magnusson		Washington					
		University					
Steven	SA	George	2017	2023	2,375.0	\$740	\$1,757,500
Magnusson		Washington					
		University					
Steven	SA	George	2017	1/24-3/24	830.8	\$770	\$639,716
Magnusson		Washington					
		University					
Andrew	А	Vanderbilt	2011	2023	834.9	\$650	\$542,685
⊟lington		University					
Flor	DR	Hofstra	1994	2023	2,172.0	\$450	\$977,400
Melgar		University					
Flor	DR	Hofstra	1994	1/24-3/24	711.1	\$450	\$319,995
Melgar		University					
Maria	DR	Thomas M.	2010	2023	481.9	\$450	\$216,833
Frantom		Cooley					

Reported Total Hours and Historical Rate Lodestar Inception through March 2024

Name	Position	Law School	Bar	Year	Hours	Rate	Lodestar
			Admission				
Anna Casal		South Bank	1998	2022	0 177 6	¢450	¢070.000
Anna Sesay	DR		1996	2023	2,177.6	\$450	\$979,920
		University,					
		London	4000	4/04 0/04	00.4	\$450	#0 400
Anna Sesay	DR	South Bank	1998	1/24-3/24	20.4	\$450	\$9,180
		University,					
		London					
Irma Diane	DR	Loyola University,	1999	2023	2,183.3	\$450	\$982,485
Bobb		Louisiana					
Irma Diane	DR	Loyola University,	1999	1/24-3/24	748.0	\$450	\$336,600
Bobb		Louisiana					
Rina Niyogi	DR	Thomas M.	2002	2023	2,090.9	\$450	\$940,905
		Cooley					
Rina Niyogi	DR	Thomas M. Cooley	2002	1/24 - 3/24	818.3	\$450	\$368,235
Lisa Uffman-	DR	Case Western	2013	2023	1,212.8	\$450	\$545,760
Kirsch		Reserve			.,	+	<i>+</i> ,- <i></i>
Lisa Uffman-	DR	Case Western	2013	1/24 - 3/24	574.7	\$450	\$258,615
Kirsch	Div	Reserve	2010		01	 100	<i>\</i> 200,010
Yusuf Naqvi	DR	University of	2012	2023	1.5	\$450	\$675
racarraqu	Div	Illinois, Chicago	2012	2020		 100	φ010
Yusuf Naqvi	DR	University of	2012	1/24 - 3/24	77.2	\$450	\$34,740
racarraqu	Div	Illinois, Chicago	2012			 100	<i>QO</i> 1,7 10
AlexPolley	DR	Washington	2013	2023	40.4	\$450	\$18,180
	Div	University in St.	2010	2020	10.1	 100	<i>Q</i> 10, 100
		Louis					
AlexPolley	DR	Washington	2013	1/24 - 3/24	645.1	\$450	\$290,295
,,	2	University in St.		.,	• • • • •		<i>+</i> ,
		Louis					
Jordan Wolff	DR	University of	2006	2023	19.5	\$450	\$8,775
	2	Georgia					<i>•••,•••</i>
Jordan Wolff	DR	University of	2006	1/24 - 3/24	564.5	\$450	\$254,025
		Georgia				+	<i>+</i> ,,
Julian	PL			2022	20.6	\$300	\$6,180
Caprow	_						, - ,
Julian	PL			2023	36.3	\$325	\$11,798
Caprow						+- - •	<i>,</i>
Julian	PL			1/24 - 3/24	13.2	\$340	\$4,488
Caprow	. –					+ - · •	÷ ·, ·••
TOTAL					36,232.3		\$31,368,140

Reported Total Hours and Historical Rate Lodestar Inception through March 2024

Name	Position	Law School	Bar	Total	Blended	Lodestar
			Admission	Hours	Rate	
Robert D. Gilbert	MP	Yale	1982	6,961.2	\$1,444	\$10,054,639
Epidio Villarreal	Р	Yale	1985	1,375.6	\$1,458	\$2,006,266
Robert S.	SC	Yale	1972	2,233.7	\$1,348	\$3,011,029
Raymar						
David	С	Fordham	1985	1,704.9	\$1,168	\$1,991,241
Copeland						
Bruce S.	С	University of	1984	1,109.7	\$1,147	\$1,273,333
Etterman		Pennsylvania				
Matthew E Moloshok	С	George	1977	296.1	\$1,132	\$335,233
		Washington				
		University				
Robert B. Rosen	С	University of	1986	613.3	\$1,131	\$693,409
		Pennsylvania				
Natasha Zaslove	SA	Hastings College	1995	1,078.8	\$854	\$921,235
		of Law				
Alexis Marquez	SA	Fordham	2013	649.8	\$720	\$467,984
Sarah Schuster	SA	New York	2015	1,084.2	\$716	\$776,288
		University				
Steven Magnusson	SA	George	2017	3,680.8	\$742	\$2,729,716
		Washington				
		University				
Andrew Elington	A	Vanderbilt	2011	834.9	\$650	\$542,685
		University				
Flor	DR	Hofstra	1994	2,883.1	\$450	\$1,297,395
Melgar		University				
Maria Frantom	DR	Thomas M.	2010	481.9	\$450	\$216,833
		Cooley				
Anna Sesay	DR	South Bank	1998	2,198.0	\$450	\$989,100
		University,				
		London				
Irma Diane Bobb	DR	Loyola University,	1999	2,931.3	\$450	\$1,319,085
		Louisiana				
Rina Niyogi	DR	Thomas M.	2002	2,909.2	\$450	\$1,309,140
		Cooley				
Lisa Uffman-Kirsch	DR	Case Western	2013	1,787.5	\$450	\$804,375
		Reserve	00.10	70 -	A 4750	
Yusuf Naqvi	DR	University of	2012	78.7	\$450	\$35,415
		Illinois, Chicago	00.10	007 -		*
AlexPolley	DR	Washington	2013	685.5	\$450	\$308,475
		University in St.				
		Louis				
Jordan Wolff	DR	University of	2006	584.0	\$450	\$262,800
		Georgia		70.1	0000	\$00.100
Julian Caprow	PL			70.1	\$320	\$22,466
TOTAL				36,232.3		\$31,368,140

Reported Total Hours and Blended Rate Lodestar Inception through March 2024

Reported Total Hours and Blended Rate Lodestar Inception through March 2024

Role Legend

- MP Managing Partner
- P Partner
- SC Special Counsel
- C Counsel
- SA Senior Associate
- A Associate
- DR Document Review Attorney
- PL Paralegal

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-3 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 17 of 19 PageID #:15021

EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

ANDREW CORZO, SIA HENRY, ALEXANDER LEO- GUERRA, MICHAEL MAERLENDER, BRANDON PIYEVSKY, BENJAMIN SHUMATE, BRITTANY TATIANA WEAVER, and CAMERON WILLIAMS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. 1:22-cv-00125
Plaintiffs,	
v.	Hon. Matthew F. Kennelly
BROWN UNIVERSITY, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, TRUSTEES OF DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, DUKE UNIVERSITY, EMORY UNIVERSITY, GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY, THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME DU LAC, THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, WILLIAM MARSH RICE UNIVERSITY, VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, and YALE UNIVERSITY,	
Defendants.	

EXHIBIT B TO THE DECLARATION OF ROBERT D. GILBERT IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND LITIGATION EXPENSES

Reported Expenses on Behalf of Plaintiffs Inception through March 31, 2024

Litigation Fund Contributions	\$834,000
Photocopying	\$4,583
Service of Process	\$564
Computer Research	\$43,715
Court Fees (filings, etc.)	\$588
Court Reporters (Transcripts)	\$468
Telephone/Fax	\$115
Postage	\$255
Travel: Air Transportation, Ground	\$95,852
Travels, Meals, Lodging	
Outside Contractor	\$27,528
Total	\$1,007,668

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

٦

ANDREW CORZO, SIA HENRY, ALEXANDER LEO-GUERRA, MICHAEL MAERLENDER, BRANDON PIYEVSKY, BENJAMIN SHUMATE, BRITTANY TATIANA WEAVER, and CAMERON WILLIAMS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. 1:22-cv-00125
Plaintiffs,	Hon. Matthew F. Kennelly
V.	
BROWN UNIVERSITY, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, TRUSTEES OF DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, DUKE UNIVERSITY, EMORY UNIVERSITY, GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY, THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME DU LAC, THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, WILLIAM MARSH RICE UNIVERSITY, VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, and YALE UNIVERSITY,	
Defendants.	

DECLARATION OF EDWARD NORMAND IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SERVICE AWARDS FOR THE CLASS REPRESENTATIONS, REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES, AND ATTORNEYS' FEES

I, Edward Normand, declare as follows:

1. I am a partner at the law firm Freedman Normand Friedland LLP ("FNF"). I

submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Support of Their Motion

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-4 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 2 of 22 PageID #:15025

for Service Awards for the Class Representatives, Reimbursement of Expenses, and Attorneys' Fees in this action (the "Action"). I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth in, and attached to, this Declaration.

2. FNF has served as one of the counsel for Plaintiffs and the proposed Settlement Class in the Action, having been appointed as one of the three Settlement Class Counsel. *See* ECF Nos. 439, 614, 638 (appointing Settlement Class Counsel).

3. The schedule attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct summary identifying the FNF attorneys, paralegals, and other professional staff who have worked on this Action, the number of hours those individuals worked, their hourly billing rates and their respective lodestar values at historical rates. The schedule attached as Exhibit A sets forth FNF's total hours and lodestar, using a blended hourly rate for each attorney and professional staff for the period from inception of the case through and including March 31, 2024.¹ This schedule was prepared from contemporaneous, daily time records that FNF prepared and maintained.

4. In representing the Plaintiffs in the Action, FNF has done the following:

a. Conducting an extensive pre-filing investigation of Defendants' conduct and the nature and scope of the 568 Exemption;

b. Drafting pleadings in cooperation with Settlement Class Counsel;

c. Drafting the opposition brief to the three motions to dismiss, in cooperation with Settlement Class Counsel, all of which motions were denied;

¹ A "blended rate" is the weighted average of each professional's hourly rate over the course of the entire case. If we assume a lawyer who worked only during 2 years of the case billed 500 hours during year 1 of the case at a rate of \$300/hour and 1000 hours during year 2 of the case at \$310/hour, the blended hourly rate would be (500 hours x \$300/hour) plus (1000 hours x \$310/hour) divided by 1500 hours for a blended hourly rate of \$306.67/hour.

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-4 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 3 of 22 PageID #:15026

d. Handling oral argument on the three motions to dismiss, as well as on most general litigation matters;

e. Drafting a proposed protocol for the Production of Electronically Stored Information, in cooperation with Settlement Class Counsel;

f. Negotiating and drafting a proposed Confidentiality Order and its two subsequent amendments, in cooperation with Settlement Class Counsel;

g. Negotiating custodians, ESI sources, search terms, and the temporal scope of Defendants' productions, in cooperation with Settlement Class Counsel;

h. Managing weekly meetings with Settlement Class Counsel to discuss overall strategy, including the best ways to conduct discovery, key documents and testimony, and organization of the factual record and participating in separate weekly calls with senior leadership from each firm;

i. Leading the main aspects of the fact discovery process, including organizing and managing the document review process, taking and defending the majority of depositions, and principally drafting most written discovery requests and responses served by Plaintiffs;

j. Managing a team of attorneys to efficiently and effectively review and analyze approximately 550,000 documents exceeding 1.9 million pages;

k. Leading the collection and production of documents from the Plaintiffs' parents pursuant to a subpoena by the Defendants;

 Preparing for important fact depositions in this case by identifying key documents to be used at each deposition, preparing extensive deposition outlines, and coordinating deposition strategy and questioning with Settlement Class Counsel;

3

m. Taking 52 fact depositions (the majority of the depositions in the case), and

assisting in the preparations of three additional depositions, including:

WITNESS	AFFILIATION	DATE(S)	PRIMARY FIRM/ EXAMINING ATTORNEY	OTHER FIRM/ ATTORNEYS PRESENT AND/OR ASSISTED
James Tilton	Brown University	5/15/23	GLC: Robert Gilbert	GLC: Steven Magnusson
				FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita; Richard Cipolla
Jeff Sassorossi	Dartmouth College	5/17/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Richard Cipolla; Vel Freedman; Peter Bach-y-Rita
Karl Furstenberg	Dartmouth College	7/14/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Richard Cipolla; Vel Freedman
Donald Bishop	University of Notre Dame	8/16/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Tom McDermott	Johns Hopkins University	8/18/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Richard Cipolla
Lee Coffin	Dartmouth College	8/22/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Richard Cipolla
Miranda McCall	Duke University	8/29/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Edward Malloy	University of Notre Dame	9/7/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Anne Walker	Rice University	9/8/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Joe Delich
Malina Chang	Caltech	9/13/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita

WITNESS	AFFILIATION	DATE(S)	PRIMARY FIRM/ EXAMINING ATTORNEY	OTHER FIRM/ ATTORNEYS PRESENT AND/OR ASSISTED
Janice Coleman	Duke University	9/15/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Thomas Keane	Cornell University	10/27/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Vel Freedman; Ivy Ngo
Mary Nucciarone	University of Notre Dame	11/1/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Eric Furda	University of Pennsylvania	11/16/23	FNF: Ted Normand	GLC: Natasha Zaslove
Kathryn Osmond	Wellesley College	11/16/23	FNF: Richard Cipolla	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Becky Maxson	Cornell University	11/17/23	FNF: Vel Freedman	FNF: Richard Cipolla
Joel Carstens	University of Pennsylvania	11/21/23	FNF: Richard Cipolla	GLC: Natasha Zaslove
Shawn Felton	Cornell University	11/30/23	FNF: Joe Delich	FNF: Mendel Kokinov
Joseph Russo	University of Notre Dame	11/30/23	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Paul Mueller	University of Notre Dame	12/6/23	FNF: Joe Delich	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Thomas Bear	University of Notre Dame	12/7/23	FNF: Joe Delich	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Jason Locke	Cornell University	12/11/23	FNF: Joe Delich	FNF: Ivy Ngo; Vel Freedman
Matthew Sessa	University of Pennsylvania	12/15/23	FNF: Richard Cipolla	GLC: Natasha Zaslove
Doris Davis	Cornell University	12/15/23	FNF: Joe Delich	FNF: Mendel Konikov

WITNESS	AFFILIATION	DATE(S)	PRIMARY FIRM/ EXAMINING ATTORNEY	OTHER FIRM/ ATTORNEYS PRESENT AND/OR ASSISTED
Ashley Pallie	Caltech	12/15/23	FNF: Vel Freedman	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Diane Corbett	Cornell University	1/8/24	FNF: Vel Freedman	FNF: Mendel Konikov
David Phillips	Johns Hopkins University	1/8/24	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Richard Cipolla
Phillip Spector	Johns Hopkins University	1/16/24	FNF: Richard Cipolla	
Shannon Cullinan	University of Notre Dame	1/18/24	FNF: Joe Delich	FNF: Mendel Konikov
Jarrid Whitney	Caltech	1/19/24	FNF: Vel Freedman	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita; Albert Sabater
Janet Rapelye	Consortium on Financing Higher Education	1/22/24	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita; Richard Cipolla
John Affleck- Graves	University of Notre Dame	1/24/24	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Joseph Delich; Peter Bach-y-Rita
Paul Streeter	Cornell University	1/26/24	FNF: Ivy Ngo	FNF: Mendel Konikov; Thomas Trabue
Peter Wyatt	Johns Hopkins University	2/15/24	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Joe Delich
Helene Grady	Johns Hopkins University	2/21/24	FNF: Richard Cipolla	
Barbara Knuth	Cornell University	2/21/24	FNF: Joe Delich	FNF: Vel Freedman, Mendel Konikov
Don Betterton	Princeton University	2/22/24	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita; Richard Cipolla

WITNESS	AFFILIATION	DATE(8)	PRIMARY FIRM/ EXAMINING ATTORNEY	OTHER FIRM/ ATTORNEYS PRESENT AND/OR ASSISTED
Robert Mundy	University of Notre Dame	2/29/24	FNF: Joe Delich	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita; Mendel Konikov
Nikki Chun	Caltech	3/1/24	FNF: Vel Freedman	FNF: Albert Sabater; Peter Bach-y-Rita; Richard Cipolla
Kim Downs- Burns	Middlebury College	3/5/24	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita; Richard Cipolla; Bianca Nachmani
Robert Donaghey	Middlebury College	3/6/24	FNF: Richard Cipolla	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Sharon Patterson	Caltech	3/8/24	FNF: Richard Cipolla	FNF: Vel Freedman; Albert Sabater
Megan Arleth	Consortium on Financing Higher Education	3/8/24	BMPC: Hope Brinn	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
Brian Hill	Carnegie Mellon University	3/14/24	FNF: Joe Delich	FNF: Vel Freedman
Caltech 30(b)(6)	Caltech	3/20/24	FNF: Vel Freedman	FNF: Albert Sabater, Richard Cipolla
MaryFrances McCourt	University of Pennsylvania	3/22/24	FNF: Joe Delich	FNF: Vel Freedman; Richard Cipolla; Mendel Kokinov
Sarah Donahue	Harvard University	3/26/24	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita
University of Pennsylvania 30(b)(6)	University of Pennsylvania	3/26/24	GLC: Robert Raymar	GLC: Rina Niyogi; Natasha Zaslove FNF: Richard
				Cipolla

WITNESS	AFFILIATION	DATE(S)	PRIMARY FIRM/ EXAMINING ATTORNEY	OTHER FIRM/ ATTORNEYS PRESENT AND/OR ASSISTED
Stanford 30(b)(6)	Stanford University	3/27/24	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Peter Bach-y- Rita; Richard Cipolla; Vel Freedman
Heather Nichols	Cornell University	3/28/24	FNF: Ivy Ngo	FNF: Joseph Delich
Sandra Baum	College Board	3/29/24	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Richard Cipolla, Peter Bach- y-Rita
Johns Hopkins 30(b)(6)	Johns Hopkins University	4/1/24	FNF: Richard Cipolla	FNF: Joseph Delich; Vel Freedman
Notre Dame 30(b)(6)	Notre Dame	4/3/24	FNF: Ted Normand	FNF: Joseph Delich
New York University 30(b)(6)	New York University	4/12/24	FNF: Joseph Delich	FNF: Ted Normand
Amherst College 30(b)(6)	Amherst College	4/12/24	FNF: Joseph Delich	

n. Preparing and defending seven of the eight Plaintiff depositions, including:

WITNESS	AFFILIATION	DATE(S)	PRIMARY FIRM/ DEFENDING ATTORNEY
Alexander Leo- Guerra	Duke University	August 25, 2023	FNF: Richard Cipolla
Brandon Piyevsky	Northwestern University	August 28, 2023	FNF: Richard Cipolla
Cameron Williams	Vanderbilt University	September 7, 2023	FNF: Richard Cipolla

WITNESS	AFFILIATION	DATE(S)	PRIMARY FIRM/ DEFENDING ATTORNEY
Andrew Corzo	University of Chicago	September 8, 2023	FNF: Richard Cipolla
Benjamin Shumate	Brown University	September 12, 2023	FNF: Richard Cipolla
Sia Henry	Duke University	September 15, 2023	FNF: Richard Cipolla
Michael Maerlender	Vanderbilt University	September 18, 2023	FNF: Richard Cipolla

o. Preparing and defending half-day depositions of two of the Plaintiffs' parents;

p. Principally drafting Plaintiffs' responses and objections to Defendants' 45 interrogatories;

q. Principally drafting Plaintiffs' responses and objections to Defendants' 29 requests for production.

r. Principally drafting motions to compel and defending against various motions to compel and motions for protective orders;

s. Principally drafting and coordinating with Defendants the filing of 25 joint status reports, as well as attending and participating in seven status conferences;

t. Co-leading the work with experts, including hundreds of hours spent working with multiple economists in connection with multiple aspects of the case, including the provision of information for the settlement process and the preparation of expert reports.

The accompanying Joint Declaration of myself, Robert D. Gilbert, and Eric L.
Cramer in Support of Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Support of Their Motion for Award Of

9

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-4 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 10 of 22 PageID #:15033

Attorneys' Fees, for Reimbursement of Expenses, and for Service Awards for the Class provides additional information and detail concerning Settlement Class Counsel's work in this Action.

6. The lodestar amount reflected in Exhibit A is for work performed by attorneys and professional staff at, or affiliated with, FNF for the benefit of the Settlement Class. The hourly rates for the attorneys and professional staff reflected in Exhibit A are the usual and customary hourly rates that FNF uses in similar contingent complex litigation or other matters. FNF performed its work in this Action on a contingent basis.

7. My firm has expended a total of \$996,717.71 in unreimbursed costs and expenses in connection with the prosecution of the Action from inception of the case through and including March 31, 2024. These costs are set forth in the Schedule attached as Exhibit B and are reflected on FNF's books and records. FNF incurred on behalf of the Settlement Class and they have not been reimbursed.

8. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 29th day of April, 2024, in New York.

<u>/s/ Edward Normand</u> Edward Normand Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-4 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 11 of 22 PageID #:15034

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

ANDREW CORZO, SIA HENRY, ALEXANDER LEO-GUERRA, MICHAEL MAERLENDER, BRANDON PIYEVSKY, BENJAMIN SHUMATE, BRITTANY TATIANA WEAVER, and CAMERON WILLIAMS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. 1:22-cv-00125
Plaintiffs,	Hon. Matthew F. Kennelly
v.	
BROWN UNIVERSITY, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, TRUSTEES OF DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, DUKE UNIVERSITY, EMORY UNIVERSITY, GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY, THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME DU LAC, THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, WILLIAM MARSH RICE UNIVERSITY, VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, and YALE UNIVERSITY,	
Defendants.	

EXHIBIT A TO THE DECLARATION OF EDWARD NORMAND IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SERVICE AWARDS FOR THE CLASS REPRESENTATIONS, <u>REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES, AND ATTORNEYS' FEES</u>

Reported Hours and Historical Lodestar

NAME	POSITION	HOURS	RATE	LODESTAR	YEAR
Richard	Р	40.9			2022
Cipolla			\$800	\$32,720	
Richard	Р				2023
Cipolla		849.2	\$840	\$713,328	
Richard	Р				2024
Cipolla		411.7	\$920	\$378,764	
Joseph	Р				2023
Delich		435	\$880	\$382,800	
Joseph	Р				2024
Delich		345.8	\$930	\$321,594	
Constantine	Р				2023
Economides		12.5	\$890	\$11,125	
Devin	Р				2022
(Velvel)					
Freedman		75.2	\$1,200	\$89,280	
Devin	Р				2023
(Velvel)					
Freedman		527.5	\$1,250	\$635,755	
Devin	Р				2024
(Velvel)					
Freedman		263.6	\$1,250	\$329,500	
Ted Normand	Р	2	\$1,100	\$2,200	2020
Ted Normand	Р	184	\$1,100	\$202,400	2021
Ted Normand	Р	997.7	\$1,100	\$1,097,470	2022
Ted Normand	Р	1659.5	\$1,100	\$1,825,450	2023
Ted Normand	Р	555.9	\$1,250	\$694,875	2024
Alex Potter	Р	96.9	\$880	\$85,272	2022
Alex Potter	Р	0.2	\$930	\$186	2024
Kyle Roche	Р	8.2	\$960	\$7,872	2021
Kyle Roche	Р	29.9	\$1,200	\$29,784	2022
Eric Rosen	Р	16	\$940	\$15,040	2021
Eric Rosen	Р	38	\$940	\$35,720	2022
Colleen	Р				2023
Smeryage		7.4	\$880	\$6,512	
William	С				2023
Dzurilla		62.6	\$890	\$55,714	
Ivy Ngo	С	527.8	\$940	\$496,132	2023
Ivy Ngo	С	284.8	\$990	\$281,952	2024
Peter Bach-y-	А				2020 and
Rita		533.4	\$840	\$448,056	before

Inception through March 31, 2024

NAME	POSITION	HOURS	RATE	LODESTAR	YEAR
Peter Bach-y-	А				
Rita		102.2	\$840	\$85,848	2021
Peter Bach-y-	А				
Rita		705.4	\$840	\$592,536	2022
Peter Bach-y-	А				
Rita		1265.7	\$880	\$1,113,816	2023
Peter Bach-y-	А				
Rita		340.8	\$890	\$303,312	2024
Kelvin	А				2022
Goode		9.4	\$800	\$7,520	
Stephen	А				2022
Lagos		62.3	\$710	\$44,233	
Stephen	А				2024
Lagos		71.3	\$825	\$58,823	
Warren Li	А	70.1	\$800	\$56,080	2022
Bianca	А				2023
Nachmani		45.7	\$630	\$28,791	
Bianca	А				2024
Nachmani		177.8	\$650	\$115,570	
Niraj	А				2023
Thakker		0.9	\$750	\$675	
Thomas	А				2023
Trabue		139.6	\$600	\$83,760	
Thomas	А				2024
Trabue		146.3	\$650	\$95,095	
Mendel	SAS				2023
Konikov		211.9	\$550	\$116,545	
Mendel	SAS				2024
Konikov		547	\$585	\$319,995	
Albert	SAS				2023
Sabater		264.8	\$550	\$145,640	
Albert	SAS				2024
Sabater		577.5	\$585	\$337,838	
Dyer, Daniel	SA	628	\$550	\$345,400	2024
Tonya	SA				2024
Fitzpatrick		441.6	\$550	\$242,880	
Brian Fox	SA	2397	\$500	\$1,198,500	2023
Brian Fox	SA	760	\$550	\$418,000	2024
Gwendolyn	SA				2023
Hailey		463.6	\$500	\$231,800	
Gwendolyn	SA				2024
Hailey		514.5	\$550	\$282,975	
Julie Hamner	SA	272.6	\$500	\$136,300	2023

NAME	POSITION	HOURS	RATE	LODESTAR	YEAR
Korlyn	SA				2023
Howard Tate		489.5	\$500	\$244,750	
Korlyn	SA				2024
Howard Tate		482.5	\$550	\$265,375	
Andrew	SA				2023
McGooey		1312.6	\$500	\$656,300	
Andrew	SA				2024
McGooey		505.9	\$550	\$278,245	
Alonna	SA				2023
Murray-					
Brown		463	\$550	\$254,350	
Alonna	SA				2024
Murray-					
Brown		468.5	\$550	\$257,675	
Yisroel	SA				2023
Schwartz		118.6	\$500	\$59,300	
Yisroel	SA				2024
Schwartz		388.5	\$550	\$213,675	
Karen	SA				2023
Slawson		2133.6	\$500	\$1,066,800	
Karen	SA				2024
Slawson		529.9	\$550	\$291,445	
Richard	SA				2023
Slawson		1865.2	\$500	\$932,600	
Richard	SA				2024
Slawson		557	\$550	\$306,350	
Robert	SA		* - • •		2023
Valenti		2566.8	\$500	\$1,283,400	
Robert	SA		* = = 0	* 13 0 0 0 0	2024
Valenti	~ .	780	\$550	\$429,000	2 2 2 4
Rand	SA	460.1	ф сс о	ФО <i>СП</i> 455	2024
Zumwalt		468.1	\$550	\$257,455	
Athanasia Kanadias	DLS	1	\$ \$7 5	¢ 0.75	2021
Karadjas		1	\$275	\$275	2021
Athanasia Kanadias	DLS	120	\$ \$7 5	¢25 750	2022
Karadjas		130	\$275	\$35,750	2022
Athanasia Karadiaa	DLS	100 1	\$205	\$144.079	2022
Karadjas		488.4	\$295	\$144,078	2023
Athanasia Karadias	DLS	777 0	\$300	\$82.240	2024
Karadjas	PL	277.8	\$200	\$83,340	2024
Alysa Cannon	۲L	27 1	\$240	\$8.210	2022
Cannon	PL	37.1	\$240	\$8,310	2022
Alysa Cannon	۲L	268.4	\$240	\$61.416	2022
Cannon		∠08.4	\$ 2 40	\$64,416	2023

NAME	POSITION	HOURS	RATE	LODESTAR	YEAR
Alysa	PL				
Cannon		60.6	\$245	\$14,847	2024
Christina	PL				2022
Larkin		0.8	\$240	\$192	
Marijanne	PL				
Revilla		11.6	\$345	\$4,002	2022
Marijanne	PL				
Revilla		34.7	\$345	\$11,972	2023
Marijanne	PL				
Revilla		52.1	\$345	\$17,975	2024
Erika	AA				
Ramirez		1.7	\$200	\$340	2023
Emma Van	Ι				
Ness		0.9	\$100	\$90	2023
Eleanor	Ι				
Wenners		17.6	\$100	\$1,760	2023
Darcy	Ι				
Whitman		36.3	\$100	\$3,630	2022
TOTAL		32,730		\$21,729,128	

NAME	POSITION	HOURS	BLENDED RATE	LODESTAR
Cipolla,				¢1 124 912
Richard	Р	1301.8	\$864.04	\$1,124,812
Delich,				\$704.204
Joseph	Р	780.8	\$902.14	\$704,394
Economides,				¢11 125
Constantine	Р	12.5	\$890.00	\$11,125
Freedman,				
Devin				\$1,054,535
(Velvel)	Р	866.3	\$1,217.29	
Normand,				\$2,822,205
Ted	Р	3399.1	\$1,124.53	\$3,822,395
Potter, Alex	Р	97.1	\$880.10	\$85,458
Roche, Kyle	Р	38.1	\$988.35	\$37,656
Rosen, Eric	Р	54	\$940.00	\$50,760
Smeryage,				¢(510
Colleen	Р	7.4	\$880.00	\$6,512
Dzurilla,				Ф <i>ББ</i> 714
William	С	62.6	\$890.00	\$55,714
Ngo, Ivy	С	812.6	\$957.52	\$778,084
Bach-y-Rita,				¢0 540 560
Peter	А	2947.5	\$862.96	\$2,543,568
Goode,				¢7.520
Kelvin	А	9.4	\$800.00	\$7,520
Lagos,				¢102.05(
Stephen	А	133.6	\$771.37	\$103,056
Li, Warren	А	70.1	\$800.00	\$56,080
Nachmani,				¢144.2(1
Bianca	А	223.5	\$645.91	\$144,361
Thakker,				¢(75
Niraj	А	0.9	\$750.00	\$675
Trabue,				¢170.055
Thomas	А	285.9	\$625.59	\$178,855
Konikov,				¢ 426 540
Mendel	SAS	758.9	\$575.23	\$436,540
Sabater,				¢402 470
Albert	SAS	842.3	\$574.00	\$483,478
Dyer, Daniel	SA	628	\$550.00	\$345,400
Fitzpatrick,				
Tonya	SA	441.6	\$550.00	\$242,880
Fox, Brian	SA	3157	\$512.04	\$1,616,500

Reported Total Hours and Blended Rate Lodestar

Inception through March 31, 2024

NAME	POSITION	HOURS	BLENDED RATE	LODESTAR
Hailey,				¢514 775
Gwendolyn	SA	978.1	\$526.30	\$514,775
Hamner,				\$126.200
Julie	SA	272.6	\$500.00	\$136,300
Howard Tate,				\$510,125
Korlyn	SA	972	\$524.82	\$510,125
McGoey,				\$934,545
Andrew	SA	1818.5	\$513.91	\$754,545
Murray-				
Brown,				\$512,025
Alonna	SA	931.5	\$549.68	
Schwartz,				\$272,975
Yisroel	SA	507.1	\$538.31	\$212,913
Slawson,			*****	\$1,358,245
Karen	SA	2663.5	\$509.95	\$1,550,210
Slawson,	a .			\$1,238,950
Richard	SA	2422.2	\$511.50	+-,
Valenti,		2246.0	•••••••••••••	\$1,712,400
Robert	SA	3346.8	\$511.65	. , ,
Zumwalt,		460.1	¢550.00	\$257,455
Rand	SA	468.1	\$550.00	
Karadjas,		007 2	¢202 (2	\$263,443
Athanasia	DLS	897.2	\$293.63	-
Cannon,	זמ	266.1	¢220.21	\$87,573
Alysa	PL	366.1	\$239.21	
Larkin, Christina	PL	0.8	\$240.00	\$192
Revilla,	FL	0.8	\$240.00	
Marijanne	PL	98.4	\$345.00	\$33,948
Ramirez,	AA	<i>70.</i> 4	φ 3 1 3.00	
Erika	ΛΛ	1.7	\$200.00	\$340
Van Ness,		1./	φ200.00	
Emma	Ι	0.9	\$100.00	\$90
Wenners,	1	0.7	ψ100.00	
Eleanor	Ι	17.6	\$100.00	\$1,760
Whitman,	Ĩ	17.0	ψισοισσ	
Darcy	Ι	36.3	\$100.00	\$3,630
TOTAL	*	32,730	663.24	\$21,729,128

Role Legend

- P Partner
- C Counsel
- A Associate

- SAS Staff Associate
- SA
- Staff Attorney Director of Legal DLS Support
- PL
- Paralegal Administrative AA Assistant
- Summer Intern Ι

Case: 1:22-cv-00125 Document #: 679-4 Filed: 04/29/24 Page 20 of 22 PageID #:15043

EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

ANDREW CORZO, SIA HENRY, ALEXANDER LEO-GUERRA, MICHAEL MAERLENDER, BRANDON PIYEVSKY, BENJAMIN SHUMATE, BRITTANY TATIANA WEAVER, and CAMERON WILLIAMS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. 1:22-cv-00125
Plaintiffs,	Hon. Matthew F. Kennelly
V.	
BROWN UNIVERSITY, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, TRUSTEES OF DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, DUKE UNIVERSITY, EMORY UNIVERSITY, GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY, THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME DU LAC, THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, WILLIAM MARSH RICE UNIVERSITY, VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, and YALE UNIVERSITY,	
Defendants.	

EXHIBIT B TO THE DECLARATION OF EDWARD NORMAND IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SERVICE AWARDS FOR THE CLASS REPRESENTATIONS, <u>REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES, AND ATTORNEYS' FEES</u>

Reported Expenses on Behalf of Plaintiffs

Inception through March 31, 2024

Category	Amount
Court Fees	\$751.00
Court Reports	\$594.35
Database Hosting Services	\$7,383.96
Litigation Fund	\$906,000.00
Miscellaneous	\$32,382.33
Photocopying	\$822.20
Postage	\$1,941.84
Travel: Air Transportation, Ground Travel, Meals, Lodging, etc.	\$46,842.03
Total	\$996,717.71